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#### Abstract

Sodium-hydrogen exchanger isoform 1 (NHE1) is a ubiquitously expressed transmembrane ion channel responsible for intracellular pH regulation. During myocardial ischemia, low pH activates NHE1 and causes increased intracellular calcium levels and aberrant cellular processes, leading to myocardial stunning, arrhythmias, and ultimately cell damage and death. The role of NHE1 in cardiac injury has prompted interest in the development of NHE1 inhibitors for the treatment of heart failure. This report outlines our efforts to identify a compound suitable for once daily, oral administration with low drug-drug interaction potential starting from NHE1 inhibitor sabiporide. Substitution of a piperidine for the piperazine of sabiporide followed by replacement of the pyrrole moiety and subsequent optimization to improve potency and eliminate off-target activities resulted in the identification of $N$-[4-(1-acetyl-piperidin-4-yl)-3-trifluoromethyl-benzoyl]-guanidine (60). Pharmacological evaluation of $\mathbf{6 0}$ revealed a remarkable ability to prevent ischemic damage in an ex vivo model of ischemia reperfusion injury in isolated rat hearts.


## - INTRODUCTION

Sodium-hydrogen exchanger isoform 1 (NHE1) is a ubiquitously expressed transmembrane ion channel responsible for the regulation of intracellular pH via the electroneutral exchange of sodium ions and protons. ${ }^{1}$ NHE1 is a member of a family of such proteins which encompasses nine variously expressed isoforms. While NHE1 is ubiquitously expressed, ${ }^{2}$ it is the predominant NHE present in myocardial tissue ${ }^{3 \mathrm{a}}$ where it plays a central role in the regulation of intracellular pH in cardiomyocytes. Under conditions of ischemia-induced acidosis, a decrease in intracellular pH leads to the activation of NHE1, which functions to restore pH to normal levels through the efflux of protons with concomitant influx of sodium ions. Under normal conditions, the elevation of sodium ion concentration is counteracted by a number of transporters and exchangers, primarily the ATP-driven sodium/potassium exchanger and the sodium/bicarbonate exchanger (which also plays a role in regulating pH ). However, under hypoxic conditions, reduced ATP levels decrease the activity of the sodium/potassium exchanger, resulting in prolonged increases
in intracellular sodium ions levels, which in turn causes the sodium calcium exchanger (NCX), normally a calcium extruder, to run in reverse, resulting in an increase in intracellular calcium ion levels. ${ }^{3 b-f}$ This increased calcium ion level results in aberrant cellular processes leading to myocardial stunning, arrhythmias, and ultimately cell damage and death. Inhibition of NHE1 is expected to prevent the initial influx of sodium ions, block the resultant calcium overload due to NCX activity, and thereby prevent subsequent cellular damage. ${ }^{4}$

The central role of NHE1 in myocardial pH regulation has prompted considerable interest in the development of NHE1 inhibitors for the treatment of ischemia-reperfusion injury. However, despite strong preclinical validation, ${ }^{5-8}$ clinical trials have provided mixed results in this indication. The recent emergence of data to support a role for NHE1 inhibition in heart failure ${ }^{9-15}$ led to our interest in pursuing NHE1 inhibition as a mechanism to treat chronic heart failure.
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Figure 1. Clinically investigated NHE1 inhibitors.

However, the shift in focus from an acute to a chronic indication prompted us to re-evaluate the profiles of the compounds evaluated in clinical studies as prospective starting points for optimization. Four NHE1 inhibitors, sabiporide ${ }^{16}$ (1), zoniporide ${ }^{17}$ (2), cariporide ${ }^{18}$ (3), and eniporide ${ }^{19}$ (4) (Figure 1) were advanced to clinical evaluation for the treatment of ischemia reperfusion injury. Compound 1 was discovered in our laboratories in a discovery program directed toward the identification of NHE1 inhibitors for the treatment of neuronal injury following ischemic stroke. Subsequently, 1 was demonstrated to have significant cardioprotective effects in vivo in a variety of animal studies and was advanced into phase I studies as an oral agent for the treatment of acute myocardial infarction (MI)..$^{8,16,28}$ The other three clinical compounds, 24, were advanced to clinical evaluation for acute MI, and were studied as iv formulations. These compounds display pharmacokinetic properties that would render them unsuitable for oral administration (Table 1), with mean residence times

Table 1. Rat Pharmacokinetics of Clinical NHE1 Compounds

| compd | clearance $\left(\% Q_{H}\right)$ | MRT $(\mathrm{h})$ | $\mathrm{Vdss}(\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{kg})$ | $F(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 24 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 42 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 105 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 5 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 82 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 37 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 28 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 65 |

(MRTs) markedly lower than that of 1. Considering the intrinsic advantage provided by the pharmacokinetic profile of sabiporide, we chose to take this molecule as the starting point for our optimization efforts to identify a compound for the treatment of chronic heart failure.

The minimization of the potential for drug-drug interactions (DDIs) is of importance for chronic indications, in particular indications such as heart failure for which patients are typically receiving a large number of comedications. $\mathbf{1}$ is a moderate inhibitor of CYP 3A4 ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 7 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and 2C19 ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 9 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), and it was deemed desirable to decrease the inhibitory potency against these isoforms. In addition, an improvement in potency was viewed to be desirable as another means to decrease the potential for off-target activity. It was also desirable to further improve the pharmacokinetic profile to enable once-daily dosing. A final goal for optimization efforts was the replacement of the pyrrole substructure, as this substructure may be oxidatively metabolized to electrophilic species implicated in adverse drug reactions. ${ }^{21,22}$

We describe here the discovery of N -[4-(1-acetyl-piperidin-4-yl)-3-trifluoromethyl-benzoyl]-guanidine (60), a potent NHE1 inhibitor with a pharmacokinetic profile suitable for chronic administration in humans and remarkable efficacy in a preclinical model of MI in the rat. Discovery efforts leading ultimately to compound $\mathbf{6 0}$ initiated from sabiporide. From this
starting point, a change of the piperazine linker to a piperidine was found to provide uncompromised potency against NHE1. Subsequent replacement of the pyrrole amide substituent to avoid a potential for formation of reactive metabolites was followed by optimization focused on improvements in potency and elimination of off-target activities, including CYP inhibition. Optimization resulted in the identification of 60, the pharmacological evaluation of which revealed a remarkable ability to prevent ischemic damage in an isolated heart model of ischemia reperfusion injury (Langendorff isolated heart preparation).

## CHEMISTRY

The arylacylguanidine substructure of compounds $\mathbf{1 - 4}$ is considered a privileged pharmacophore for NHE1 inhibition and was left unaltered in the optimization efforts described herein.

Taking 1 as our starting point, our first set of compounds examined the effect of a change to the piperazine substructure. The piperazine was replaced with racemic 3 -aminopyrrolidine, racemic 3 -aminopiperidine, or 4-aminopiperidine (Scheme 1). Compounds 5-7 were synthesized by a nucleophilic aromatic substitution of the methyl ester 8 with the BOC-protected amines $9 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$ to provide the BOC-protected amino esters 10ac. The BOC group was removed under standard acidic conditions, and the amine was coupled with 1 H -pyrrole-2carboxylic acid chloride to provide the pyrrole amides 11a-c. The esters of compounds 11a-c were hydrolyzed to the free acids 12a-c, which were subsequently coupled with CBZguanidine or BOC-guanidine using a Mukuyama coupling. ${ }^{23}$ The resulting CBZ- or BOC-protected acylguanidines 13a-c were deprotected under standard conditions to afford the desired 3-aminopyrrolidine, 3-aminopiperidine, and 4-aminopiperidine derivatives 5-7.

The further examination of the effect of changes to the sabiporide piperazine substructure was enabled by the synthesis of the corresponding piperidine derivative 14 (Scheme 2). The synthesis of 14 took its starting point in the methyl ester 15, which underwent Suzuki coupling with commercially available pinacol boronate 16 to provide the BOC-protected tetrahydropyridine 17. Catalytic hydrogenation using platinum(IV) oxide in acetic acid afforded the BOC-protected piperidine 18, which was hydrolyzed to provide the corresponding carboxylic acid derivative 19. Condensation with CBZ-protected guanidine and subsequent removal of the BOC protecting group provided the amino derivative 20a. Condensation of the amine 20a with pyrrole-2-carboxylic acid, using carbonyl diimidazole (CDI) in dimethylformamide, was followed by the removal of the CBZ protecting group under standard conditions to provide the piperidine 14.

In a next set of compounds (21-62) the effect of a replacement of the pyrrole substructure with unsubstituted or

## Scheme $1^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) diisopropylethylamine (DIEA), DMSO, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, 2.5 h ; (iii) 1-methyl-2-chloropyridinium iodide, 1 -methyl pyrrolidinone (NMP), 90 min , then CBZ-guanidine, DIEA, 16 h ; (iv) HCl , dioxane, methanol, 4.5 h ; (v) 1 H -pyrrole-2-carboxylic acid chloride, DIEA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (vi) Pd/C, $1 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$.

Scheme $2^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, 140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}, 1$ atm $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, acetic acid, 60 h ; (iii) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 / 1), 60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (iv) 1 -methyl-2-chloropyridinium iodide, NMP, 90 min , then CBZ-guanidine, DIEA, 16 h ; (v) HCl, 1,4-dioxane, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (vi) 2-pyrrole-carboxylic acid, CDI, DMF, 90 min , then 20a, DIEA, 16 h ; (vii) Pd/C, 1 atm $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, EtOH, 4-16 h.
substituted aryl was examined. The majority of these compounds were synthesized using a similar strategy as that used in the synthesis of compound 14 (Scheme 2). However, for compounds 23, 31, 36, 37, and 47-49, the intermediate 17 was carried forward with its alkene functionality intact to provide the tetrahydropyridine intermediate 20b (Scheme 3),

## Scheme $3^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 / 1), 6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 2 h ; (ii) 1-methyl-2-chloropyridinium iodide, NMP, 90 min , then CBZguanidine, DIEA, 16 h ; (iii) $\mathrm{HCl}, 1,4$-dioxane, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (iv) $\mathrm{RCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CDI}, \mathrm{DMF}, 90 \mathrm{~min}$, then 20b, DIEA, 16 h ; (v) Pd/C, 1 atm $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, EtOH, 4-48 h.
which was acylated under the conditions used for compound 14, followed by the hydrogenation of the CBZ group and alkene moieties in the final step. This synthetic sequence, however, suffered from low synthetic reproducibility, likely a result of the poorly reactive alkene being extremely difficult to hydrogenate completely. For the alkyl amide compounds 5560, a synthetic strategy similar to that employed for compound 14 was used, albeit using an acid chloride in place of the CDImediated coupling of the acid derivative.

To enable the further exploration of changes to the pyrrole in a parallel format, the BOC-protected acylguanidine intermediates 63a and 63b were prepared. These intermediates were synthesized starting from the intermediate $\mathbf{1 8}$ by the conversion of this BOC-protected piperidine to the corresponding CBZprotected piperidine 61. Hydrolysis of 61 under basic conditions and subsequent subjection to a Mukuyama type coupling with BOC-guanidine provided the CBZ-protected acylguanidine 62, which was deprotected by hydrogenation to give the desired intermediate amino derivative 63a. This intermediate or its hydrochloride salt 63 b was condensed with a variety of aryl acids using TBTU to give the BOC-protected amides 64a-i, which upon BOC removal provided the desired compounds 24-29 and 52-54 (Scheme 4).

To examine the effect of aryl core substitution, compounds 78-83 were prepared from their corresponding carboxylic acid ester intermediates $\mathbf{6 9 a}-\mathrm{c}$ using a similar synthetic strategy as applied for compound 14 (Scheme 5). The 2-methyl-5trifluoromethyl core of 69 a was synthesized by initiating from the iodophenol 65, which was converted to its benzyl protected derivative 66. Subjection of 66 to a copper-promoted trifluoromethylation provided 67, which was deprotected by hydrogenation to provide the phenol derivative 68. Conversion of 68 to the corresponding triflate followed by a Suzuki coupling with 16 provided the tetrahydropyridine intermediate 69a. The 2-methyl-5-methylsulfonyl core of compounds 69b was synthesized by initiating from the benzoic acid 70. Methsulfonylation to provide the arylmethylsulfone 71 was followed by esterification to provide the bromo ester intermediate 72, which was subsequently subjected to Suzuki coupling with 16 to provide the tetrahydropyridine intermediate 69 b . The 2 -methoxy-5-trifluoromethyl core of 69 c was synthesized from the ester 73. Selective benzyl protection of the phenol to give the benzyloxy compound 74 followed by bromination to provide the bromophenyl 75, which was methylated to provide the methoxy bromide 76. Subsequent

## Scheme $4^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{HCl}, 1,4$-dioxane, 18 h , then $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) benzyl chloroformate, DIEA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (iii) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 / 1), 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (iv) 1-methyl-2-chloropyridinium iodide, NMP, 90 min , then BOC-guanidine, DIEA, $16 \mathrm{~h} ;(\mathrm{v}) \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{H} 2$, $\mathrm{EtOH}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$, then for $\mathbf{6 3 b}: \mathrm{HCl}$ in ether; (vi) $\mathrm{RCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TBTU}, \mathrm{NMM}, \mathrm{DMA}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (vii) 4 N HCl in dioxane, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. For R groups see tables 3,5 , and 6.

Scheme $5^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) BnBr, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) $\mathrm{KOC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF}_{3}, \mathrm{CuI}, \mathrm{NMP}, 150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}$; (iii) $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$, EtOH , o/n; (iv) N phenyltrifluoromethanesulfonimide, DIEA, DMF, o/n; (v) $16,2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{Pd}^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{4}, 110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$; (vi) $\mathrm{ClSO}_{3} \mathrm{H}, 0$ to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (vii) $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$, $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, THF, $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 1 h then MeI, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, o/n; (viii) $\mathrm{AcCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, o/n; (ix) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$; (x) KOtBu, MeI, THF, 72 h.
trifluoromethylation and deprotection gave the phenol 77 (Scheme 5), which was subjected to triflation and Suzuki reaction with $\mathbf{1 6}$ to provide the tetrahydropyridine intermediate 69c. The required intermediate for the 5 -methylsulfonyl core was synthesized according to literature procedures. ${ }^{21}$

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The superior pharmacokinetic profile of $\mathbf{1}$ led us to take this molecule as the starting point for discovery of an NHE1 inhibitor suitable for once daily, oral administration with minimal potential for drug-drug interactions. 1 can be viewed as consisting of four structural domains: a left-hand side heteroaryl amide, a piperazine linker, a substituted aryl core, and an acylguanidine headgroup (Figure 2). Although some NHE1 inhibitors incorporate replacements for the aryl core and acylguanidine, ${ }^{25}$ the aryl core and right-hand side acylguanidine


Figure 2. Chemical structure of the NHE1 inhibitor 1 illustrating its four structural domains. From left to right, these are the heteroaryl amide (yellow), piperazine linker (red), aryl core (green), and the acylguanidine (blue).
is present in the vast majority of NHE1 inhibitors and hence can be considered to be a privileged pharmacophore for NHE1 inhibition. During the discovery of $\mathbf{1}$, the trifluoromethylphenyl core was demonstrated to provide good pharmacokinetic
properties. In light of this experience, and considering the extensive prior art for arylacylguanidines, which includes a vast number of claims to aryl core structures other than phenyl, our initial efforts focused on the exploration of changes to the piperazine substructure with the goal to identify structural changes likely to provide patentability and address the optimization goals outlined above.

Close examination of the minimized structures of the clinical benchmark compounds $\mathbf{1 - 4}$, assuming identical positioning of the aryl acylguanidine moiety, revealed different positioning of the substituents of the core aryl, hence suggesting some flexibility with respect to the identity of the core aryl substituents (Figure 3). Initial optimization efforts therefore


Figure 3. Overlay of the minimized conformations of the four NHE-1 inhibitors 1 (turquoise), 2 (green), 3 (yellow), and 4 (pink), illustrating the different positioning of the left-hand side aryl moiety.
focused on changes to the piperazine linker in order to identify an alternative to the piperazine while maintaining or improving the favorable properties of sabiporide. A change of the piperazine substructure to aminopyrrolidine, 3 -aminopiperidine, and 4 -aminopiperidine was investigated by the synthesis of compounds 5,6 , and 7 . The overlay of the minimized conformations of these compounds with that of 1 suggested a relatively close agreement in the placement of their left and right-hand sides (Figure 4). However, these compounds ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$


Figure 4. Overlay of the minimized conformations of $\mathbf{1}$ (turquoise) with 5 (salmon), 6 (beige), and 7 (gray), illustrating the relatively good overlap of the core aryl and far left-hand side substructures.
$172 \mathrm{nM})$ resulted in reduced potency in the functional platelet swelling assay (see Experimental Section), with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ s ranging from 950 to 3477 nM for compounds 5-7 as compared to 172 nM for 1 (Table 2). In contrast, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in the $\mathrm{pH}_{\mathrm{i}}$ assay were largely uncompromised, with $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \mathrm{~s}$ ranging from 36 to 172 nM for compounds $5-7$ compared to the $28 \mathrm{nM} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ for 1. More radical departures from the piperazine core such as those based on bicyclic systems, rings fused to the aryl ring, or

Table 2. $\mathrm{pH}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and Human Platelet Swelling Inhibition Potencies for Piperazine Replacements 5-7 and 14

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | R | $\mathrm{pH}_{\mathrm{i}}$ change $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{hPSA} \\ \mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM}) \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 |  | 28 | 172 |
| 5 |  | 36 | 1586 |
| 6 | ${ }^{H} S^{\prime}$ | 172 | 3477 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{S}^{N}$ | 41 | 950 |
| 14 | $\widehat{N}$ | 45 | 241 |

bridged heterocycles resulted in compounds which completely lacked inhibitory potency in the human platelet-swelling assay (data not shown). Examination of structural overlays again did not reveal the basis for the complete lack of inhibitory potency displayed by these compounds. In contrast, our evaluation of the piperidine derivative 14 revealed a near-perfect overlay with sabiporide and the compound displayed comparable potency to sabiporide in the platelet swelling assay as well as in the $\mathrm{pH}_{\mathrm{i}}$ assay $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} 45 \mathrm{nM}\right.$ and $\mathrm{EC}_{50} 241 \mathrm{nM}$ for compound 14 compared to $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 28 \mathrm{nM}$ and $\mathrm{EC}_{50} 172 \mathrm{nM}$ for 1) (Figure 5).


Figure 5. Overlay of the minimized conformations of the piperidine derivative 14 (gray) with 1 (turquoise), illustrating near-perfect overlay for both the right-hand side aryl acylgaunidine and the lefthand side aryl amide.

For the majority of poorly active compounds (such as $\mathbf{5 , 6}$, and 7), the activity in the human platelet swelling assay was markedly inferior to that of sabiporide, while a significant degree of potency frequently was still observed in the $\mathrm{pH}_{\mathrm{i}}$ assay. Various possibilities were examined in an effort to understand the basis for the differences in potencies observed in these assays. The observed differences could be due to protein binding in the human platelet swelling assay which would not occur in the $\mathrm{pH}_{\mathrm{i}}$ assay. To examine this possibility, the $\mathrm{pH}_{\mathrm{i}}$ assay was conducted with plasma protein (data not shown). However, the potencies observed in this assay did not shift remarkably from those observed without plasma, hence suggesting that plasma protein binding is not the underlying

Table 3. $\mathrm{pH}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and hPSA Potencies for Aryl Replacements for the Pyrrole Moiety in 14 and xLogP Values Illustrating the Improvement in Potency for More Polar Left-Hand Sides

| Compound | R | $\mathrm{pH}_{\mathrm{i}}$ change $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{hPSA} \\ \mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM}) \end{gathered}$ | $x \log P$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | $\widehat{S N}_{1}^{H}$ | 45 | 241 | 2.07 |
| 21 | $0$ | 68 | 109 | 3.26 |
| 22 | Fis | 109 | 184 | 3.42 |
| 23 | $F_{5} 0,10$ | 320 | 539 | 4.18 |
| 24 | ${ }^{91}$ | 306 | 1130 | 3.88 |
| 25 |  | 385 | 989 | 3.88 |
| 26 | $\sqrt{1}^{c}$ | 108 | 495 | 3.88 |
| 27 |  | 4705 | >10000 | 5.2 |
| 28 |  | 102 | 368 | 3.04 |
| 29 |  <br> 1 | 33 | 353 | 3.04 |
| 30 |  | 65 | 169 | 3.07 |
| 31 | Ho | 21 | 138 | 2.85 |


| Compound | R | $\mathrm{pH}_{\mathrm{i}}$ change $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { hPSA } \\ \mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM}) \end{gathered}$ | x $\log P$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 | ${ }^{\mathrm{Nc}} 1$ | 24 | 152 | 2.98 |
| 33 |  | 35 | 111 | 2.98 |
| 34 | $\mathbb{E S}^{c N}$ | 61 | 200 | 2.98 |
| 35 | $\mathrm{MOO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | 15 | 93 | 2.78 |
| 36 |  | 60 | 50 | 2.78 |
| 37 | $\underbrace{\mathrm{SO} \mathrm{~N}_{2}}$ | 58 | 269 | 2.78 |
| 38 | $\cdots$ | 18 | 70 | 2.01 |
| 39 | $0^{N}$ | 18 | 32 | 2.01 |
| 40 | $\mathbb{H}_{N}^{N}$ | 18 | 79 | 2.1 |
| 41 | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{i}$ | 26 | 36 | 2.15 |
| 42 | ${ }^{\text {HO}}{ }^{\mathrm{N}}>$ | 19 | 25 | 2.33 |
| 43 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | 14 | 23 | 1.61 |
| 44 | $\mathrm{Me}_{\mathrm{Me}}^{\mathrm{Na}-\mathrm{N}}$ | 27 | 115 | 1.99 |
| 45 | $\mathbb{N N O}^{N^{\prime}}$ | 14 | 111 | 1.52 |
| 46 | $N^{1+}=1$ | 18 | 62 | 1.52 |

reason for the observed differences in potencies observed in the $\mathrm{pH}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and platelet swelling assays. Examination of physiochemical properties of the molecules that displayed significant differences in potency in the $\mathrm{pH}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and human platelet swelling assays, such as polar surface area, $\log P, \log D$, and hydrogen bond acceptor/donor count, also did not reveal a correlation that might explain the observed shift in potencies between the assays.

Consequently, our further optimization efforts were driven by the human platelet swelling assay as this assay was viewed to provide a greater extent of physiologically relevance in its measure of NHE1 inhibition (see Experimental Section). However, the platelet swelling assay measures the inhibition of osmotic swelling due to increased intracellular sodium concentration. Therefore, this indirect measurement of NHE1 activity was complemented by potency assessments in the $\mathrm{pH}_{\mathrm{i}}$ assay to ensure a mechanistic link to the intracellular pH restoration.

Having identified the piperidine derivative 14 as a novel replacement of the piperazine structure of sabiporide, which provided uncompromised potency in both the $\mathrm{pH}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and platelet swelling assays, we shifted our attention to the optimization of the heteroaryl left-hand side. We initially sought to replace the pyrrole moiety as this substructure has been associated with adverse drug reactions. ${ }^{21,22}$

In our examination of potential aryl replacements for the pyrrole substructure, the phenyl derivative 21 was found to
provide a slight potency improvement in the platelet swelling assay ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50} 109 \mathrm{nM}$ compared to $\mathrm{EC}_{50} 241 \mathrm{nM}$ for 1) (Table 3). Evaluation of derivatives in which the aryl was substituted in the 4 -position with nonpolar substituents, or which incorporated a biphenyl moiety, revealed reduced potency in the platelet swelling assay ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50} 539,1130$, and 989 nM for compounds 23, 24, and 27, respectively) (Table 3). In contrast, compounds 28, 29, and 30, where the additional ring in biphenyl 27 was replaced by more polar five-membered heterocycles, showed improvement in potency relative to 27 ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50} 368,353$, and 169 nM for 28, 29, and 30, respectively), suggesting the low potency of the larger nonpolar substituents is due to a polarity mismatch rather than a steric clash with the ion channel. On the basis of this data, it was hypothesized that increased polarity (as can be measured by xlogP, ${ }^{26}$ see Table 3) may improve the potency. Following this hypothesis, the compounds 31 through 43 were evaluated to reveal modest improvements in potency, with the compounds incorporating the most polar left-hand sides being the most potent compounds in this class $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50} 36,25\right.$, and 23 nM , for 41, 42, and 43 compared to sabiporide $\mathrm{EC}_{50} 172 \mathrm{nM}$ ). Several fivemembered heterocycle replacements were also explored, giving modestly improved potency (44-46). To determine if there was any regioisomeric preference for the aryl substitution, several compounds were synthesized in all three regioisomers. The potencies of these compounds suggested no strong preference for placement of the left-hand side aryl substituent

Table 4. CYP450 Isoform Inhibition, Stability in Rat and Human Liver Microsomes, and PAMPA Permeability Rating for Pyrrole Replacement Compounds with Potency 200 nM or Better in the hPSA Assay Showing Improved Overall Profiles with Increasing Polarity of Left-Hand Side Amide

| Compound | R | $\begin{gathered} \text { hPSA } \\ \mathrm{IC}_{50} \\ (\mathrm{nM}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CYP inhibition } \\ \text { IC }_{50} \\ (2 \mathrm{C} 19 / 2 \mathrm{C} 9 / 2 \mathrm{D} 6 / 3 \\ \text { A4 })(\mu \mathrm{M}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{rLM} / \mathrm{hLM} \\ \left(\% \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{H}}\right) \end{gathered}$ | PAMPA Rating ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{x} \log \mathrm{P}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | $\theta$ | 109 | 5/7/>30/>30 | 46/67 | HIGH | 3.26 |
| 22 | Fin | 184 | 11/8/>30/6 | 30/32 | HIGH | 3.42 |
| 31 | ${ }^{\mathrm{HO}}$ | 138 | NT | 11/27 | LOW | 2.85 |
| 32 | ${ }^{\mathrm{NC} \text { © }}$ | 152 | 15/13/>30/11 | $20 /<11$ | HIGH | 2.98 |
| 33 |  | 112 | 7/19/>30/22 | $<5.6 /<11$ | HIGH | 2.98 |
| 34 | $\underbrace{C N}$ | 200 | 16/4/10/>30 | <6/40 | HIGH | 2.98 |
| 35 |  | 93 | $>30 />30 />30 / 15$ | $<5.6 /<11$ | LOW | 2.78 |
| 36 |  | 50 | >30/>30/>30/>30 | 14/15 | LOW | 2.78 |
| 38 | N | 70 | 0.06/0.4/11/>30 | $12 /<11$ | HIGH | 2.01 |
| 39 | $0^{N}$ | 32 | >30/13/>30/>30 | $<6 / 33$ | LOW | 2.01 |
| 40 | $\underbrace{}_{N}$ | 79 | 26/3/27/>30 | <6/49 | HIGH | 2.1 |
| 41 |  | 36 | 28/4/12/24.5 | <5.6/24 | LOW | 2.15 |
| 42 | ${ }^{\mathrm{HO}} \mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{N}}-$ | 25 | >30/29/>30/>30 | 10/18 | LOW | 2.33 |
| 43 |  | 23 | >30/16/>30/24 | 7/24 | LOW | 1.61 |
| 44 | $\mathrm{Me}_{\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{Na}}$ | 115 | NT | 78/68 | LOW | 1.99 |
| 45 | $\widetilde{N}_{n} N_{n}^{N^{\prime}}$ | 111 | 16/18/>30/26 | 12/47 | HIGH | 1.52 |
| 46 | $N^{T}=1$ | 62 | 15/25/>30/3 | <5.6/23 | LOW | 1.52 |

${ }^{a}$ PAMPA rating was assigned as LOW if the permeation velocity was $<10^{-6} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$.
(compounds 24-26, 32-34, and 35-37). The apparent flat structure-activity relationship suggested to us a lack of specific interactions such as hydrogen bonds or dipole-dipole attractions between the left-hand side aryl moiety and the NHE1 channel.

Compounds with potencies in the platelet swelling assay of less than 200 nM were examined for their propensity to inhibit cytochrome P450 enzymes (CYP 450) and for their metabolic stability in human and rat liver microsomes (Table 4). In general, selectivity against CYP 450 enzyme inhibition and metabolic stability in liver microsomal fractions was improved with increasing polarity of the amide substituent. Notable exceptions were provided by the pyrazole 44 (likely due to facile pyrazole $N$-demethylation in microsomes) and the pyridine 38 (for which the pyridine moiety was likely a source
of potent CYP inhibition). ${ }^{27}$ The 4-methylsulfonyl derivative 35 was selected as a class representative for evaluation of its pharmacokinetic profile in the rat based on its low degree of CYP450 inhibition $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} \mathrm{~s}>30,>30,>30\right.$, and $15 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for the 2C19, 2C9, 2D6, and 3A4 isoforms, respectively), acceptable potency $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50} 93 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, and high metabolic stability in microsomes ( $<5.6 \% \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{h}}$ in rat liver microsomes and $<11 \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{h}}$ in human liver microsomes). Surprisingly, 35 was rapidly cleared in the rat with a rate of $66 \%$ of hepatic blood flow $\left(Q_{h}\right)$ and its bioavailability $(F)$ was somewhat low at $15 \%$. A lack of cellular permeability, possibly due to the polar left-hand side, could explain the observed low bioavailability of 35 . To test this hypothesis, 35 was profiled in the PAMPA and Caco-2 permeability assays, which revealed low permeability and a high efflux ratio of 8 for this methylsulfonyl derivative.

Subsequently, compounds with good metabolic stability and CYP inhibition were therefore tested in the PAMPA assay, and it was found that the more polar analogues generally displayed low permeability (Table 4).

At this point, we faced the rather discouraging proposition that the SAR for NHE-1 potency appeared to be divergent with that of acceptable membrane permeability and oral bioavailability. The examination of the compounds for which permeability in the PAMPA assay was poor (31, 35, 36, 4144, and 46) revealed that the majority of these contained hydrogen bond donor moieties such as sulfonamides and amides. Analogues of these compounds were therefore synthesized in which these NH and OH groups were "capped" with methyl groups to determine if permeability could be rescued without negatively affecting potency (Table 5).

Table 5. $\mathrm{pH}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and hPSA Potencies and PAMPA Permeability Ratings for Methylated Analogues of Phenol 31, Primary Amide 41, and Sulfonamide 43 Illustrating That Capping of the Hydrogen-Bond Donor Group Failed to Balance Potency and Permeability

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | R | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{pH}_{\mathrm{i}} \text { change } \\ \mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{hPSA} \\ \mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM}) \end{gathered}$ | PAMPA <br> Rating |
| 47 |  | 132 | 498 | HIGH |
| 48 |  | 52 | 214 | LOW |
| 49 |  | 50 | 77 | LOW |
| 50 |  | 30 | 88 | LOW |
| 51 |  | 36 | 241 | HIGH |

However, in cases where the permeability was in fact restored, potency was found to be attenuated to unacceptable levels ( 47 and 51 methyl capped analogues of 31 and 43). In the other cases, an improvement in permeability was not achieved with capping of the hydrogen-bond donor group.

Increased polarity of substituents on the left-hand side arylamide afforded improvements in CYP inhibition and microsomal stability and resulted in more potent inhibition of NHE1. However, polarity on the left-hand side was found to negatively affect the permeability and oral bioavailability. Attempts to modify compounds with low permeability had not resulted in compounds with acceptable potency and permeability, and therefore a change in strategy was necessary. Considering the totality of the data available, we hypothesized that moving away from the aryl amides to alkyl amides may offer an advantage. The alkyl amides should allow for more compact structures that would allow for higher polarity, as was seen to be beneficial for potency, without large increases in polar surface area which was likely a contributor to the permeability problems faced by the more polar aryl amides.

To examine the effect of alkyl amides as a replacement for the left-hand side pyrrole of $\mathbf{1}$, compounds $52-60$ were evaluated in the platelet swelling assay and the $\mathrm{pH}_{\mathrm{i}}$ assay. More extended alkyl amides exhibited only moderate potency ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ 201-416 nM for compounds 52-54, Table 6). However, our evaluation of truncated alkyl amides revealed these to be potent inhibitors of NHE1 both in the platelet swelling assay and in the $\mathrm{pH}_{\mathrm{i}}$ assay $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50} 127,79,50,31\right.$, and 31 nM for compounds $55,56,57,59$, and $\mathbf{6 0}$, respectively) with the methyl and ethyl amides ( $\mathbf{5 9}$ and $\mathbf{6 0}$ ) exhibiting the most potent inhibition of NHE1 so far observed. To understand the potential of the alkyl amide derivatives to provide acceptable permeability, these compounds were evaluated in the PAMPA assay, which revealed permeability to be high for all compounds tested (Table 6). Interestingly, once again, the inhibitory potency against NHE1 as assessed in the platelet swelling assay could be improved modestly by the addition of polarity to the lipophilic alkyl group as in the case of the methoxyacetyl $58\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50} 48\right.$ $\mathrm{nM})$ versus the $n$-propyl compound $54\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50} 201 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. The CYP inhibition profiles and microsomal stability were acceptable for the tert-butyl and methoxyacetyl amides 55 and 58 and excellent for the ethyl and acetyl amides 59 and 60 (Table 6), with acetyl amide $\mathbf{6 0}$ having an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}>30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ across all CYP isoforms tested. In contrast, for the iso-propyl amide derivative 56, inhibition of the CYP isoforms 2C9 and 3A4 was significant ( 7 and $0.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively), and for cyclopropyl 57, CYP2C19 and 2C9 displayed significant inhibition (5 and $6 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively).

Having identified the potent and permeable alkyl amides 59 and 60, several core substituents were explored in an attempt to expand the structural scope of compounds for advancement. The efforts by others, leading to the discovery of cariporide, had demonstrated that the inclusion of a methyl substituent in the 6 -position of the core aryl resulted a modest improvement in potency. ${ }^{24}$ In our case, the inclusion of a 6-methyl substituent did not provide a similar improvement (compound 78 as compared to $60 ; \mathrm{EC}_{50} 28 \mathrm{nM}$ versus 31 nM , respectively). The inclusion of a 6-methoxy substituent was also examined (compound 79), resulting in a decrease in potency of more than 10 -fold compared to the parent compound $60\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50} 432\right.$ nM versus 31 nM , respectively). The cariporide core substituent SAR had been examined in the context of a methylsulfone rather than a trifluoromethyl core. It was therefore hypothesized that the potency may be improved by the incorporation of such a methylsulfone in combination with the 6 -methyl substituent. The replacement of the trifluoromethyl of compounds 59 and 60 with a methylsulfone (compounds 80 and 81), however, was found to result in compounds of lower potency $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50} 31\right.$ and 31 nM for compounds 59 and 60, respectively, versus 266 and 189 nM for 80 and 81, respectively). However, as observed in the context of the cariporide SAR, the incorporation of the 6-methyl substituent now resulted in an approximately 3 -fold potency improvement (compound $\mathbf{8 2}, \mathrm{EC}_{50} 88 \mathrm{nM}$ and compound 83 $\mathrm{EC}_{50} 56 \mathrm{nM}$ ) (Table 7).

During the earlier optimization efforts leading to the discovery of $\mathbf{1}$, it was found that methylsulfone bearing cores suffered from very low oral bioavailability which was attributed to rapid efflux of the compounds (data not shown). Before undertaking further exploration of the 6 -methyl methylsulfone core compounds, compound 82 was tested in the Caco-2 permeability assay and found to have a high efflux ratio of 14 . The pharmacokinetic profiles of compounds 82 and 83 were

Table 6. $\mathrm{pH}_{\mathrm{i}}$ Potencies, hPSA Potencies, CYP450 Isoform Inhibition Potencies, Stability in Rat and Human Liver Microsomes, and PAMPA Permeability Ratings for Alkyl Amide Left-Hand Side Compounds


Table 7. $\mathrm{pH}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and hPSA Potencies for Core Modifications of Compounds 59 and 60 as well as Caco-2 Efflux Ratio for 2-Methyl-5-methylsulfone Core Compound 82


| compd | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{pH}_{\mathrm{i}}$ change $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{IC}_{50} \\ & (\mathrm{nM}) \\ & \text { hPSA } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Caco-2 efflux } \\ & \text { ratio } \\ & (\mathrm{AB} / \mathrm{BA}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 78 | Me | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | Me | 5 | 28 | - |
| 79 | Me | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | MeO | 19 | 432 | - |
| 80 | Et | $\mathrm{MeSO}_{2}$ | H | 29 | 266 | - |
| 81 | Me | $\mathrm{MeSO}_{2}$ | H | 22 | 189 | - |
| 82 | Et | $\mathrm{MeSO}_{2}$ | Me | 15 | 88 | 14 |
| 83 | Me | $\mathrm{MeSO}_{2}$ | Me | 15 | 56 | - |

evaluated in rat, and both compounds were found to suffer from very low oral bioavailability (Table 8, $4 \%$ and $1 \%$ F, respectively). This problem of efflux-driven low bioavailability was found to be general for the methylsulfone-containing cores (data not shown), and therefore work on these compounds did not proceed further. The 3-trifluoromethyl-6-methyl core compound (78), which maintains the potency of 60 , was next examined in CYP and hERG inhibition assays. For CYPs 3A4, 2C9, and 2D6, there was no significant inhibition ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ $>30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), however, a modest inhibition of 2C19 did appear to be caused by the addition methyl group ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 22 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). More concerning, however, was that compound 78 showed significant hERG inhibition in the PatchXpress assay ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 7 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). As a result, this compound was not further pursued and efforts to explore the core SAR were abandoned.

Table 8. Rat Pharmacokinetic Profiles of Methylsulfone Core Containing Compounds 82 and 83 Showing Low Bioavailability, Attributed to High Efflux

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| compd | clearance $\left(\% Q_{H}\right)$ | MRT (h) | Vdss (L/kg) | $F(\%)$ |
| $\mathbf{8 2}$ | 54 | 0.3 | 0.95 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{8 3}$ | 47 | 0.36 | 1 | 4 |

Having identified a potent class of NHE1 inhibitors with potential for high permeability and selectivity against metabolic enzymes, we evaluated compounds from this class which had revealed acceptable CYP inhibition and both RLM and HLM stability for their clearance profiles in rat following iv administration and their propensity to inhibit the hERG channel (PatchExpress) (Table 9). The para-benzonitrile compound 32 exhibited rather potent hERG inhibition with an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ of $4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and was therefore eliminated from further profiling. From the remaining compounds, the ethyl and methyl amides 59 and $\mathbf{6 0}$ displayed low clearance ( 4.4 and $5.7 \% Q_{b}$, respectively), while the meta-benzonitrile derivative 33 displayed a MRT similar to those of 59 and $\mathbf{6 0}$, albeit with a substantial increase in volume of distribution ( $3.3 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{kg}$ for compound 33 versus 1.0 and $0.76 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{kg}$ for compounds 59 and 60). Compounds 33, 59, and 60 were evaluated for their pharmacokinetic profiles following oral administration in both rats and dogs (Table 10). The bioavailabilities in rats for all three compounds were acceptable (ranging from $49 \%$ for compound 59 to $72 \%$ and $73 \%$ for compounds 33 and 60),

Table 9. hERG Inhibition and Rat i.v. Pharmacokinetics of Compounds with Acceptable in Vitro Profiles


|  |  |  | Rat i.v. PK |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | R | hERG <br> PatchExpress <br> $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | Clearance $\left(\% \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{H}}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vdss } \\ & (\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{kg}) \end{aligned}$ | MRT <br> (h) |
| 32 |  | 4 | 19 | 6.5 | 8.5 |
| 33 |  | >30 | 16 | 3.3 | 4.9 |
| 45 | $\mathbb{N O}_{\sim}^{N}$ | >30 | 31 | 1.4 | 1.0 |
| 55 | $t$-Bu | 27 | 29 | 1.8 | 1.5 |
| 58 | -0. | >30 | 21 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| 59 | Et | >30 | 4.4 | 1.0 | 5.3 |
| 60 | Me | >30 | 5.7 | 0.76 | 3.2 |

albeit with 59 displaying somewhat lower bioavailability compared to 33 and 60 . In dogs, 33 and $\mathbf{6 0}$ displayed reduced but still acceptable bioavailability ( $36 \%$ and $33 \%$, respectively). Overall, the pharmacokinetic profiles in rat and dog were viewed to support the further advancement of compounds 33, 59 , and 60.

The attractive overall profiles of compounds 59 and 60 prompted the evaluation of these compounds for their selectivity against NHE2 and NHE3 using a $\mathrm{pH}_{\mathrm{i}}$ assay format (Table 10). Both compounds, displayed $>30$-fold selectivity against NHE2 and with no measurable inhibitory activity against the NHE3 isoform (up to $16 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration). While both 59 and 60 were attractive compounds based on their overall profile to this point, the modestly superior CYP inhibition profile of $\mathbf{6 0}$ resulted in the selection of this compound for further advanced profiling in preparation for in vivo pharmacology and toxicology studies. The potential for this compound to induce CYP 3A4 expression was assessed using the PXR induction assay in which 60 showed no significant induction of CYP3A4 ( $6 \%$ of rifampin at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Compound $\mathbf{6 0}$ was also free of time-dependent CYP3A4 inactivation up to $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Testing in several in vitro toxicology assays also supported the further advancement of compound 60, as it was found to be free of mutagenicity potential in the Ames assay both directly and after S9 incubation up to 5000
$\mu \mathrm{g} /$ plate, free of cytotoxicity up to $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$, and free of phospholipidosis potential in the Nile Red assay up to $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

Compound 60 was therefore examined in the Langendorff isolated perfused rat heart model ${ }^{28}$ ex vivo to examine its ability to prevent or attenuate ischemia-reperfusion injury. Compound 60 was found to have a profound efficacy in this model, providing recovery of left ventricular developed pressure (LVDP) to levels of $100 \%$ and $36 \%$ of baseline pressure at perfusate concentrations of 100 and 10 nM , as measured 30 min postreperfusion. Furthermore, the administration of compound $\mathbf{6 0}$ provided a significantly beneficial effect on leftventricular end diastolic pressure, preventing much of the increase seen postreperfusion for the vehicle control (Figure 6).


## Left Ventricular End-Diastolic Pressure



Figure 6. Left ventricular developed pressure (LVDP) and left ventricular end-diastolic pressure (LVEDP) for compound 60. Data were analyzed using a repeated-measures ANOVA followed by a post hoc Tukey's test, $N=6$, for all groups. For LVDP, values indicate the percentage recovery from baseline values obtained immediately before initiating ischemia. Preischemic baseline values for LVDP (in mm Hg ) were $63 \pm 5,61 \pm 7$, and $59 \pm 5 \mathrm{nM}$ for control, and 10 and 100 nM compound 60 -treated hearts, respectively. Absolute values are shown for LVEDP, which were initially adjusted to approximately 5 mmHg as indicated in Experimental Section. $* P<0.05$ from compound 60 -free group.

These effects were particularly remarkable in light of the fact that the platelet-swelling assay using rat platelets (rPSA) had revealed an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ for compound $\mathbf{6 0}$ of only 138 nM ,

Table 10. Full Rat and Dog Pharmacokinetic Profile of Compounds with Acceptable hERG Potencies and MRT >3 h in Rat i.v. Pharmacokinetics

| compd | rat |  |  |  | dog |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | clearance $\left(\% \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{H}}\right)$ | MRT (h) | Vdss (L/kg) | F (\%) | clearance (\% $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{H}}$ ) | MRT (h) | Vdss (L/kg) | F (\%) |
| 33 | 16 | 3.3 | 4.9 | 72 | 18 | 17 |  | 36 |
| 59 | 4.4 | 5.3 | 1 | 49 | 8.1 | 14 | 2.0 | 49 |
| 60 | 5.7 | 3.2 | 0.76 | 73 | 13 | 6.2 | 1.4 | 33 |

demonstrating that compound $\mathbf{6 0}$ exerts profound beneficial effects at concentrations approximating its $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value.

In summary, optimization of 1 beginning with replacement of the piperazine with a piperidine linker followed by optimization of the left-hand side amide afforded the acetyl compound $\mathbf{6 0}$ with high NHE1 potency, low DDI potential as measured by CYP inhibition, CYP 3A4 inactivation, and PXR mediated CYP 3A4 induction, low hERG potency with concomitant absence of effects in lengthening action potential duration, excellent pharmacokinetics in rat and dog, and remarkably potent activity in the isolated heart model of ischemia-reperfusion injury. Further pharmacological and toxicological profiling of this compound will be reported in due course.

## - EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Procedures. All reactions were performed at ambient temperature where not otherwise indicated. Unless otherwise indicated, extraction and washing steps were performed a single time. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance ( 400 MHz unless otherwise indicated) spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm from tetramethylsilane with the solvent resonance as the internal standard ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 7.24 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}, 2.52 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, 3.34 ppm ). Data are reported as follows: Chemical shifts, multiplicity ( $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{dd}=$ doublet of doublets, $\mathrm{dt}=$ doublet of triplets, $\mathrm{dq}=$ doublet of quartets, $\mathrm{dm}=$ doublet of multiplets, $\mathrm{br}=$ broad, $\mathrm{vbr}=$ very broad, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, app $=$ apparent), coupling constants ( Hz ), and integration. Flash chromatography was performed using prepacked silica gel columns (Analogix Flash chromatograph RS-12 cartridges) of the indicated solvent system unless otherwise indicated. Separation was achieved with a CombiFlash SQ16X chromatographer by Isco. HPLC purification was performed on a Gilson GX280 platform fitted with a Waters SunFire Prep C-18 OBD column ( $20 \mathrm{~mm} \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), Gilson 322 binary pump, and Gilson UV/vis 155 detector using a 20 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ flow rate, detection at 254 nM , and the indicated gradient unless otherwise indicated. For compounds 24-29 and 52-54, HPLC purification was performed on a Waters 2767 platform fitted with a Waters 2525 gradient module, Waters 515 binary pump, Waters 2996 DAD, and Waters Micromass ZQ mass spectrometer fitted with a SunFire Prep C18 OBD column ( $19 \mathrm{~mm} \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) and running the following gradient (expressed as a percentage of acetonitrile in water with $0.1 \%$ formic acid) at a $20 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ flow rate: $0-3.5 \mathrm{~min} 10 \%, 3.5-13.0 \mathrm{~min} 10-85 \%, 13.0-13.5 \mathrm{~min} 85-95 \%$, $13.5-15.0 \mathrm{~min}$ isocratic $95 \%$. All compounds described were of $>95 \%$ purity unless otherwise indicated. Compounds dosed in vivo were of $>98 \%$ purity. Purity was confirmed by analytical LC/MS recorded on a system consisting of a Waters ZQ mass spectrometer, an Agilent 1100 DAD, Sedex 85 ELS detector, and an Agilent 1100 HPLC system, equipped with a Zorbax SB-C18 rapid resolution cartridge ( $4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times$ $30 \mathrm{~mm}, 3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). Elution started with $95 \%$ water ( $0.1 \%$ formic acid)/ $5 \%$ acetonitrile ( $0.1 \%$ formic acid) and ended with $95 \%$ acetonitrile ( $0.1 \%$ formic acid) $/ 5 \%$ water ( $0.1 \%$ formic acid) and used a linear gradient at a flow rate of $2.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Purity of final compounds submitted to biological testing was assessed by HPLC recorded on a system consisting of an Agilent 1100 HPLC system equipped with a Zorbax Eclipse XDB-C8 column ( $4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}, 3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ and a column temperature of $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using the following two gradient conditions for all compounds: (1) $5-70 \%$ acetonitrile/water/ $0.1 \%$ TFA from 0 to $18 \mathrm{~min}, 18-26 \mathrm{~min}$ run isocratic at $70 \%$ acetonitrile/water/0.1\%TFA; (2) $3090 \%$ acetonitrile/ water/ $0.1 \%$ TFA from 0 to $14 \mathrm{~min}, 14-16 \mathrm{~min} 90 \%$ acetonitrile/ water/0.1\% TFA.

The following compounds were synthesized according to available literature procedures: $N$-carbobenzyloxyguanidine; ${ }^{29}{ }^{31} 6$-benzyloxynicotinic acid, ${ }^{30}$ pyrrole-2-carboxylic acid chloride, ${ }^{31}$ 4-fluoro-3trifluoromethylbenzoic acid methyl ester, ${ }^{32}$ 4-bromo-3-methylsulfon-yl-benzoic acid. ${ }^{24}$ All commercially available chemicals were used as
received and were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich except where indicated.

Computational Methods. Structural overlays were generated using Cresset Fieldalign (Fieldalign 3.0.0, revision 14780, copyright 2006-2010, Cresset Biomolecular Discovery Ltd., BioPark, Hertfordshire, Broadwater Road, Welwyn Garden City, Herts AL7 3AX, United Kingdom) and matching the acylguanidine functionality in each molecule. The graphics were generated using Maestro 9.1. from Schrödinger LLC, New York, New York, USA, (2010).

Synthetic Methods. 4-\{3-[(1H-Pyrrole-2-carbonyl)-amino]-pyr-rolidin-1-yl3-3-trifluoromethyl-benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (11a). To a solution of 4-fluoro-3-trifluoromethyl-benzoic acid methyl ester (8, 5.0 g, 22.5 mmol$)$ in dry DMSO $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(3.08 \mathrm{~g}, 23.3$ mmol ) and tert-butyl-pyrrolidin-3-yl-carbamate (9a) (TCI, $4.2 \mathrm{~g}, 22.5$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature. The reaction mixture was heated at 95 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography eluting $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum-ether to give the desired product 10a as a white solid with an unknown impurity still present. The impure residue was dissolved in methanol $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated methanolic $\mathrm{HCl}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature, after which time the solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was washed with diethyl ether ( 100 mL ) to afford the piperidine hydrochloride salt product ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.26$ (br s, 2H), $8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.75(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.11-2.08 (m, 1H). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$289.26, found 289.28.

To a partially dissolved mixture of the product from the previous step $(1 \mathrm{~g}, 3.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 30 mL ) was added HATU ( 1.2 g , 3.16 mmol ) and pyrrole-2-carboxylic acid ( $0.324 \mathrm{~g}, 3.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min . The reaction mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and diisopropylamine ( 1.2 mL , 15.40 mmol ) was added, and the mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography eluting $10 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether to afford the desired product as a white solid contaminated with $5 \mathrm{wt} \%$ TMU ( $0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 59 \%$ yield accounting for presence of TMU). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 9.31(\mathrm{br} s, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.94-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.75(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.10-2.04 (m, 1H). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$382.36, found 382.26 .

4-\{3-[(1H-Pyrrole-2-carbonyl)-amino]-pyrrolidin-1-yl\}-3-trifluoro-methyl-benzoic Acid (12a). To a solution of 11a ( $0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 1.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(9 / 1)$ was added $\mathrm{LiOH}(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 5.91 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the resulting mixture was stirred overnight. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with ether. The aqueous phase was acidified with $10 \%$ aqueous citric acid, and the resulting precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with ether to give pure desired product as a white solid $(0.65 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 13.0-12.4(\mathrm{vbr} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.4(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11-8.09(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52-4.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.71$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.19-2.04 (m, 1H). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$368.33, found 368.26 .

General Procedure for Mukuyama Coupling. To a solution of the desired acid ( 1 equiv) in NMP (approximately 0.2 M concentration) was added 2 -chloro-1-methylpyridinium iodide ( 1.2 equiv), and the resulting solution was stirred for 30 min at room temperature and then
at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The dark-orange solution was cooled to room temperature, and either BOC or CBZ protected guanidine ( 1.3 equiv) and DIEA (3 equiv) were added. The resulting mixture was stirred overnight, after which time the solvent was removed in vacuo. The mixture was partitioned between water and ethyl acetate, and the phases were separated. The organic phase was washed twice with water, once with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude material was purified via silica gel chromatography using the indicated eluent.

1H-Pyrrole-2-carboxylic Acid [1-(4-(N-Carbobenzyloxy)-guanidi-nocarbonyl-2-trifluoromethyl-phenyl)-pyrrolidin-3-yl]-amide (13a). Synthesized according to the Mukuyama coupling general procedure using 12a ( $50.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.136 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The crude material was purified via silica gel chromatography using a gradient elution of $0-80 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes to afford the desired product $(46.2 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%)$ as a glassy solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.5(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12-$ $8.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.88-6.86$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85-6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{dd}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{app}$ quintet, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.59-3.50 (m, 1H), 3.44-3.40 (m, 1H), 2.25-2.17 (m, 1H), 2.09$2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 543.52$, found 543.47.

General Procedure for the Removal of the CBZ Protecting Group. To a solution of CBZ-guanidine compound (1 equiv) in ethanol under an argon atmosphere was added $20 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ on carbon ( 3 mol $\%)$ or $10 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Pd}$ on carbon ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and the mixture was stirred under a hydrogen atmosphere for $3-16 \mathrm{~h}$. The mixture was filtered through Celite, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The resulting residues were purified by the methods indicated for the specific compounds.

1H-Pyrrole-2-carboxylic Acid [1-(4-Guanidinocarbonyl-2-trifluor-omethyl-phenyl)-pyrrolidin-3-yl]-amide (5). The CBZ protecting group of 13a was removed according to the general procedure using $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ on carbon in ethanol $(4.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 4 h . The crude residue was dissolved in minimal $10 \%$ methanol $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and precipitated using ether. The resulting precipitate was collected by filtration and triturated with ether to give the desired product as a white solid (10.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 28 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.41$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 11.36 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.47-8.22(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05-8.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81-6.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77-6.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.04-5.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52-4.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64-$ $3.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-1.96(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$409.39, found 409.40.

4-\{3-[(1H-Pyrrole-2-carbonyl)-amino]-piperidin-3-yl\}-3-trifluoro-methyl-benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (11b). Following the procedure for the first two steps of the synthesis of compound 11a using as the starting material $8(7 \mathrm{~g}, 31.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and tert-butyl piperidin-3-ylcarbamate ( $\mathbf{9 b}$ ) (Oakwood, $6.31 \mathrm{~g}, 31.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) afforded the desired product as a white solid $\left(4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 41 \%\right.$ over two steps) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81-2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.64-1.61 (m, 1H), $1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ $+\mathrm{H}^{+}$303.30, found 303.26.

Using the product of the previous step as starting material ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 2.95$ mmol ) and employing the procedure for 11a step 3 afforded the desired product as a white solid contaminated with 9 wt $\%$ tetramethylurea (TMU) $(0.9 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%$ yield accounting for presence of TMU). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 9.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.69-1.64 (m, 2H). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$396.38, found 382.26 (pdt $-\mathrm{Me}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$).

4-\{3-[(1H-Pyrrole-2-carbonyl)-amino]-piperidin-3-yl\}-3-trifluoro-methyl-benzoic Acid (12b). Following the procedure for 12a using as the starting material $11 \mathrm{~b}(0.90 \mathrm{~g}, 2.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ afforded the desired product as a white solid $(0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right): \delta 11.4$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11-3.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.57(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.54-1.51 (m, 1H). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$382.36, found 382.31

1H-Pyrrole-2-carboxylic Acid [1-(4-Guanidinocarbonyl-2-trifluor-omethyl-phenyl)-piperidin-3-yl]-amide (6). The required protected acyl guanidine intermediate $\mathbf{1 3 b}$ was synthesized according to the Mukuyama coupling general procedure using 12b ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.262$ mmol ) and was used without purification in the next step. The residue was dissolved in 1,4-dioxane ( 2.0 mL ), and 4 M HCl in 1,4-dioxane $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The reaction was stirred for 60 h , at which time the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was purified by preparative HPLC eluting $0-75 \%$ acetonitrile/water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid to obtain the desired product as the trifluoroacetic acid salt ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.44$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}),, 6.85(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-4.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H},), 3.19(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}),, 2.83(\mathrm{t}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}),$, $(\mathrm{t}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}),, 1.94-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}$ $+)$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 423.41$, found 423.44.

4-\{4-[(1H-Pyrrole-2-carbonyl)-amino]-piperidin-1-yl\}-3-trifluoro-methyl-benzoic Acid (12c). Following the procedure for the first two steps of the synthesis of 11a using as the starting material piperidin-4-yl-carbamic acid tert-butyl ester (Astatech, 9c, $0.43 \mathrm{~g}, 2.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $8(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ afforded crude 10 c as a yellow solid. HCl gas was bubbled through a solution of crude $\mathbf{1 0 c}$ in methanol $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . The reaction mixture was then stirred overnight at room temperature. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was washed with diethyl ether $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ to afford the title compound as a yellow solid ( $0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 44 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO): $\delta 8.24$ (partially obscured br s, 2H), 8.20-8.11 (m, 2H), $7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.40 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-3.12(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-1.99$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. MS (EI): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$ 303.30, found 303.10.

Following the procedure for the third step of the synthesis of 11a using as starting material the product of the previous step $(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.95$ mmol ) afforded crude 11c as a white solid. Crude 11c ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.52$ mmol ) was subjected to the same procedure as for 12a to afford the title compound as an off-white solid ( $0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO): $\delta 13.19$ (broad, 1 H ), 11.39 (s, 1H), 8.15 (partially obscured d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22-3.12$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. MS (EI): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 382.34$, found 382.1.

1H-Pyrrole-2-carboxylic Acid [1-(4-Guanidinocarbonyl-2-trifluor-omethyl-phenyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-amide (7). Following the procedure for 13a using as the starting material 12c $(23.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ afforded crude 13 c as a white solid. This crude material ( $0.02 \mathrm{~g}, 0.32$ mmol ) was treated as in the procedure for 6 to the title compound as a white solid ( $10.0 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO) : $\delta 11.72-11.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.75-8.32(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.68-758(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.92 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.62-5.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-$ 3.18 (obscured by water peak, $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.45-2/07 (m, 2H). MS (EI): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 410.37$, found 410.36.

4-Bromo-3-trifluoromethyl-benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (15). To a solution of 4-bromo-3-trifluoromethyl-benzoic acid (3B Scientific, 115 $\mathrm{g}, 428 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(400 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added concentrated sulfuric acid $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was sealed and heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The mixture was cooled to room temperature, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was treated with water, and the resulting solid was collected by filtration to afford the desired product as a colorless solid ( $121 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl3): $\delta 8.21$ (d, $J=2.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. MS (EI): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{BrF}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$282.95, 284.95, found 283.00 and 285.00 .

4-(4-Methoxycarbonyl-2-trifluoromethyl-phenyl)-3,6-dihydro-2H-pyridine-1-carboxylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (17). To a solution of
$15(17.3 \mathrm{~g}, 61.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1,4-dioxane $(250 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added 4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-[1,3,2] dioxaborolan-2-yl)-3,6-dihydro-2H-pyri-dine-1-carboxylic acid tert-butyl ester (16, Digital Specialty Chemicals, $18.9 \mathrm{~g}, 61.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2 M aqueous potassium carbonate $(61.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 122$ mmol ), and tetrakistriphenylphosphinepalladium(0) (Strem, 7.07 g , $6.10 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction vessel was sealed and heated to $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h. The mixture was cooled to room temperature, and the dioxane was removed in vacuo. The resulting residue was diluted with water and ethyl acetate and filtered to remove insoluble material, and the phases were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted twice with ethyl acetate, the combined organics were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The brown residue was then passed through a short bed of silica rinsing first with $10 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane, then $20 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane. The material collected from the $20 \%$ ethyl acetate fraction was evaporated to afford the desired product as a light-yellow solid (19.6 g, 83\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{dm}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{q}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.98$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}$ + ): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}-\mathrm{tBu}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 371.11$, found 371.51.

4-(4-Methoxycarbonyl-2-trifluoromethyl-phenyl)-piperidine-1carboxylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (18). To a solution of 17 (13.6 g, 35.3 $\mathrm{mmol})$ in acetic acid $(180 \mathrm{~mL})$ under an argon atmosphere was added platinum(IV) oxide ( $750 \mathrm{mg}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the mixture was stirred under a hydrogen atmosphere for 60 h . The mixture was filtered through Celite, and the solvent was removed in vacuo to give the desired product as a foam $(13.5 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right): \delta 8.16$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.02-3.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}$, 9H). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}-\mathrm{Me}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 373.39$, found 373.33.

4-(4-Carboxy-2-trifluoromethyl-phenyl)-piperidine-1-carboxylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (19). To a solution of 18 ( $5.10 \mathrm{~g}, 13.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol $(39 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(13 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added potassium carbonate $(3.64 \mathrm{~g}, 26.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction was stirred for 16 h at room temperature. The methanol was removed in vacuo, the residue was poured into dilute aqueous hydrochloric acid, and the desired product was isolated by filtration $(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta 8.14$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.16-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 374.38$, found 374.60.

N-(4-Piperidin-4-yl-3-trifluoromethyl-benzoyl)-(N'-(carbobenzy-loxy)-guanidine (20a). Synthesized according to the Mukuyama coupling general procedure using $19(2.60 \mathrm{~g}, 6.96 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude residue was purified via flash chromatography eluting $0-80 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes to give the desired CBZ-guanidine as a pale-orange oil $(3.06 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.22$ (br s, 1H), 9.80 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.74(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.11(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.12-2.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.68-1.59 (m, 4H), $1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ $+\mathrm{H}^{+}$549.57, found 549.20.

To a solution of the product of the previous step ( $3.06 \mathrm{~g}, 5.58$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in 1,4-dioxane ( 25 mL ) was added 4 M hydrogen chloride in 1,4-dioxane ( $14.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 55.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the mixture was stirred for 16 h . The mixture was concentrated to give pure product as a white powder as the hydrochloride salt $(2.70 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right): \delta 10.16(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.40-9.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.21-9.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.46$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.36$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{t}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.06 (app q, $J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.81(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 449.57$, found 449.20.

General Procedure for Amide Bond Coupling with CDI. To a solution of benzoic acid derivative ( 1.10 equiv) in DMF was added CDI ( 1.10 equiv), and the mixture was stirred for $30-60 \mathrm{~min}$. 20a or $\mathbf{2 0 b}$ was then added ( 1.00 equiv), followed by DIEA ( 3.0 equiv), and the reaction was stirred overnight at room temperature. The resulting mixture was either diluted with water or dilute HCl solution and
filtered to give the desired the product or diluted with ethyl acetate and washed with water three times followed by brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting coupling products were either used crude in the next step or purified by flash chromatography as indicated.

N-\{4-[1-(1H-Pyrrole-2-carbonyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-trifluoromethyl-benzoyl\}-guanidine, Hydrochloride (14). The CBZ-protected amide intermediate was obtained using the CDI coupling general procedure from pyrrole-2-carboxylic acid ( $75.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.682 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $N, N$ dimethylformamide ( 10 mL ) and 20a ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.619 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The desired product was obtained following aqueous workup and was used crude in the following step. The CBZ protecting group was removed according to the general procedure using Pd on carbon in a mixture of ethanol and ethyl acetate ( $10: 2,4 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) for 16 h . The residue was purified by two serial flash chromatography purifications eluting $0-$ $40 \%$ methanol in dichloromethane. The resulting pure residue was treated with 4 N HCl in 1,4-dioxane to give the desired compound as a white solid ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{MeOD}): \delta 8.28-8.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26-8.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.16$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.66-6.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.26-6.17(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70-4.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.91-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. MS (EI): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$408.40, found 408.56.

N-[4-(1,2,3,6-Tetrahydro-pyridin-4-yl)-3-trifluoromethyl-benzoyl]-$N^{\prime}$-(carbobenzyloxy)-guanidine (20b). To a solution of 17 ( 2.74 g , $7.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 40.0 mL ) was added water $(4.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ and potassium carbonate $(2.46 \mathrm{~g}, 17.8 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction mixture was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The mixture was then diluted with ethyl acetate $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was brought to a pH of about 4 using 1 N aqueous hydrochloric acid. The phases were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted twice with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phase were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo to yield the desired carboxylic acid as a colorless solid $(2.48 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $8.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.31-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}-\mathrm{tBu}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 357.10$, found 357.46.

The required CBZ-protected guanidine intermediate was synthesized according to the Mukuyama coupling general procedure using the product of the previous step ( $2.48 \mathrm{~g}, 6.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The crude material was purified via silica gel chromatography using a gradient elution of $0-40 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes to afford the desired CBZprotected guanidine intermediate $(3.37 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%)$ as a glassy solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.47-8.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.47-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}$, 9 H ). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$548.22, found 547.83.

To a solution of the product of the previous step ( $3.37 \mathrm{~g}, 6.20$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane ( 24 mL ) was added 4 N hydrogen chloride in 1,4-dioxane ( $25 \mathrm{~mL}, 100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the reaction was stirred for 2 h . The mixture was diluted with ether $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtered to give the desired product as a colorless solid ( $2.80 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right): \delta 8.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.38$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.37(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.66 (br s, 2H). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$447.43, found 447.77.

N-\{4-[1-(4-Trifluoromethyl-benzoyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-trifluoro-methyl-benzoyl\}-guanidine (23). The required CBZ-protected acylguanidine was obtained using the CDI coupling general procedure from 4-trifluoromethyl-benzoic acid ( $43.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.228 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $N, N$ dimethylformamide ( 3 mL ) and 20b ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.207 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The desired product was obtained following aqueous workup and flash chromatography eluting $0-60 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 9.03$ (br s, 1H), 8.62 (br s, 1 H$), 8.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, partially obscured by $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ peak), $5.74-5.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.51-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$619.53, found 619.46 .

The product of the previous step ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 0.167 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated according to the CBZ removal general procedure using Pd on carbon in ethanol $(4.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 16 h . The residue was purified via preparative HPLC eluting 10-90\% acetonitrile/water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid to obtain the desired product as the trifluoroacetic acid salt (64.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 64 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.67$ (br s, 1H), 8.79-8.43 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}), 8.22-8.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.76(\mathrm{~m}$, 3 H ), $1.66-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES + ): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$ 487.41, found 487.74.

N-\{4-[1-(4-Hydroxy-benzoyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-trifluoromethyl-benzoyl\}-guanidine (31). The required CBZ-protected acylguanidine was obtained using the CDI coupling general procedure from 4 -benzyloxy-benzoic acid (Lancaster, $52.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.228 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $N, N-$ dimethylformamide ( 3 mL ) and 20b ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.207 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The desired product was obtained following aqueous workup and flash chromatography eluting $0-60 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes ( $78 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 9.42$ (vbr s, 1H), 8.66 (br s, 1H), 8.42 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.79-5.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41-$ $3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.44$ (br s, 2H). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}+$ $\mathrm{H}^{+}$657.65, found 657.60.

The product of the previous step ( $78 \mathrm{mg}, 0.118 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated according to the CBZ removal general procedure using Pd on carbon in ethanol $(3.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 16 h . The residue was purified via preparative HPLC eluting 10-90\% acetonitrile/water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid to obtain the desired product as the trifluoroacetic acid salt (39.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 60 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.71$ (br s, 1H), 9.87 (br s, 1H), $8.64-8.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18$ (dd, $J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.96-3.78(\mathrm{vbr} \mathrm{s} 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-2.76(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 435.41$, found 435.72.

N-\{4-[1-(3-Methylsulfonyl-benzoyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-trifluoro-methyl-benzoyl\}-guanidine (36). The required CBZ-protected acylguanidine was obtained using the CDI coupling general procedure from 3-methylsulfonyl-benzoic acid (Acros, $36.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.228 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide ( 3 mL ) and 20b ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.207 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The desired product was obtained following aqueous workup and flash chromatography eluting $0-100 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes ( 110 mg , $85 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.62(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.69-8.41(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $8.21-8.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.07-8.01(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.28-3.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, partially obscured by singlet at 3.30 ), $3.07-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.64$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$629.62, found 629.41.

The product of the previous step ( $110.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.175 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated according to the CBZ removal general procedure using Pd on carbon in ethanol ( 3.0 mL ) for 48 h . The residue was purified via preparative HPLC eluting 10-90\% acetonitrile/water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid to obtain the desired product as the trifluoroacetic acid salt ( $60.0 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.16$ (br s, 1 H ), $9.86(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.73(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.74-5.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 497.49$, found 497.73.

N-\{4-[1-(2-Methylsulfonyl-benzoyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-trifluoro-methyl-benzoyl\}-guanidine (37). The required CBZ-protected amide intermediate was obtained using the CDI coupling general procedure from 2-methylsulfonyl-benzoic acid (Acros, $46.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.228 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide ( 2 mL ) and 20b ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.207 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The desired product was obtained following aqueous workup and was used crude in the following step.

The CBZ protecting group was removed according to the general procedure using Pd on carbon ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in ethanol ( 4.0 mL ) for 48 h at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The residue was purified via preparative HPLC eluting $10-$ $90 \%$ acetonitrile/water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid to obtain the desired product as the trifluoroacetic acid salt $(37.0 \mathrm{mg}, 29 \%$ over two
steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$ mixture of 2 rotamers in a $3: 1$ ratio, shifts reported for major rotamer: $\delta 8.26$ (d, $J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18$ (dd, $J=$ $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.9 \mathrm{HZ}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49$ (dd, $J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83-4.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.55$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, partially obscured by methyl singlet), $3.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{dq}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04$ (dq, $J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, partially obscured), $2.00-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, partially obscured), $1.81-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.55$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 497.50$, found 497.38.

N-\{4-[1-(4-Methoxy-benzoyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-trifluoromethyl-benzoyl\}-guanidine (47). The required CBZ-protected amide intermediate was obtained using the CDI coupling according to the general procedure from 4-methoxy-benzoic acid ( $34.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.228$ mmol ) in $N, N$-dimethylformamide ( 2 mL ) and 20b ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.207$ mmol ). The desired product was obtained following aqueous workup and was used crude in the following step. The CBZ protecting group was removed according to the general procedure using Pd on carbon in ethanol $(4.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 16 h . The residue was purified via preparative HPLC eluting 10-90\% acetonitrile/water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid to obtain the desired product as the trifluoroacetic acid salt $(60.0$ $\mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.68$ (br s, 1 H ), $8.78-8.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.68-4.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.28-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02-2.84$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}+$ $\mathrm{H}^{+}$449.44, found 449.73.

4-[4-(4-Guanidinocarbonyl-2-trifluoromethyl-phenyl)-piperidine-1-carbonyl]-N-methyl-benzamide (48). The required CBZ-protected amide intermediate was obtained using the CDI coupling according to the general procedure from $N$-methyl-terephthalamic acid (Matrix, $61.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.340 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $N, N$-dimethylformamide $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and 20 b ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.311 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The desired product was obtained following aqueous workup and was used crude in the following step. The CBZ protecting group was removed according to the general procedure using Pd on carbon ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in ethanol $(4.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 48 h . The residue was purified via preparative HPLC eluting 10-90\% acetonitrile/water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid to obtain the desired product as the trifluoroacetic acid salt ( $50.0 \mathrm{mg}, 28 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.57$ (br s, 1H), 8.71-8.26 (m, 5H), 8.21$8.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.79-4.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, partially obscured by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ peak), $2.95-2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.00-1.55 (m, 4H). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$476.46, found 476.67.

N,N-Dimethyl-terephthalamic Acid. To a solution of terephthalic acid monomethyl ester chloride (TCI, $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dimethylamine ( 2 M solution in methanol, 5.29 $\mathrm{mL}, 10.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise over 15 min , and the reaction was stirred for 1 h . The resulting mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate and washed with water, 1 M HCl , and brine. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to give the desired amide which was used directly in the next reaction. To a solution of the crude ester in methanol ( 30 mL ) was added water ( 10 mL ) followed by $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.58 \mathrm{~g}, 11.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the resulting mixture was heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 h . The methanol was removed in vacuo, and the resulting aqueous solution was acidified with concentrated aqueous HCl and diluted with 25 mL of water. The cloudy aqueous solution was extracted twice with ethyl acetate, the combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo to give the desired product as a white solid $(690 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 13.16$ (br s, 1 H$), 7.98$ (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}+)$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$194.20, found 194.32.

4-[4-(4-Guanidinocarbonyl-2-trifluoromethyl-phenyl)-piperidine-1-carbonyl]-N,N-dimethyl-benzamide (49). The required CBZprotected amide intermediate was obtained using the CDI coupling according to the general procedure from $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethyl-terephthalamic acid $(65.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.340 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $N, N$-dimethylformamide $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and

20b ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.311 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The desired product was obtained following aqueous workup and was used crude in the following step. The CBZ protecting group was removed according to the general procedure using Pd on carbon ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in ethanol ( 4.0 mL ) for 48 h. The residue was purified via preparative HPLC eluting 10-90\% acetonitrile/water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid to obtain the desired product as the trifluoroacetic acid salt ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.69(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.87-8.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.21-$ $8.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.10(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, partially obscured by methyl peak), $1.90-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 490.49$, found 490.70 .

N-[4-(1-Benzoyl-piperidin-4-yl)-3-trifluoromethyl-benzoyl]-guanidine (21). The required CBZ-protected amide intermediate was obtained using the CDI coupling according to the general procedure from benzoic acid ( $27.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.227 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and 20a $(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.206 \mathrm{mmol})$. The desired product was obtained following aqueous workup and was used crude in the following step. The CBZ protecting group was removed according to the general procedure using $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ on carbon in ethanol $(3.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 16 h . The residue was purified via preparative HPLC eluting 10$100 \%$ acetonitrile/water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid to obtain the desired product as the trifluoroacetic acid salt ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.62$ (br s, 1 H$), 8.71-8.41(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $8.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47$ $(\mathrm{s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39-3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-$ $2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ $+\mathrm{H}^{+} 419.42$, found 419.30 .

N-\{4-[1-(4-Fluoro-benzoyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-trifluoromethyl-ben-zoyl\}-guanidine (22). The required CBZ-protected amide intermediate was obtained using the CDI coupling general procedure from 4fluorobenzoic acid ( $1.85 \mathrm{~g}, 13.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide $(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ and 20a $(5.82 \mathrm{~g}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. The desired product was obtained by diluting the mixture with ice-cold dilute hydrochloric acid solution followed by filtration and was used crude in the following step. The CBZ protecting group was removed according to the general procedure using Pd on carbon in ethanol $(75 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 16 h . The residue was dissolved in dioxane ( 100 mL ) and treated with excess 4 M hydrogen chloride in 1,4-dioxane. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the resulting residue was triturated with ether. The resulting solid was recrystallized from acetonitrile to give the desired product as a colorless solid ( $3.77 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.72-8.49(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.40-8.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.53(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-3.17$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$437.40, found 437.20.
$N$-\{4-[1-(4-Imidazol-1-yl-benzoyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-trifluorometh-yl-benzoyl\}-guanidine (30). The required CBZ-protected amide intermediate was obtained using the CDI coupling according to the general procedure from 4 -( 1 H -imidazol-1-yl)benzoic acid $(42.7 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.227 mmol ) in $N, N$-dimethylformamide $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $20 \mathrm{a}(100 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.206 mmol ). The desired product was obtained following aqueous workup and was used crude in the following step. The CBZ protecting group was removed according to the general procedure using Pd on carbon in ethanol $(3.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 16 h . The residue was redissolved in methanol $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and treated with an excess of 1 N HCl in ether. The resulting mixture was concentrated, and the resulting white solid was suspended in acetonitrile and boiled for 15 min . The resulting precipitate was collected by filtration to give the desired product as a crystalline white solid ( $73 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.56(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.88(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{br}$ s, 2 H$), 8.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.34(\mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93-7.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.77-4.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.32-3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.99-2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES + ): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 484.49$, found 485.25.
$N$-\{4-[1-(4-Cyano-benzoyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-trifluoromethyl-ben-zoyl\}-guanidine (32). The required CBZ-protected amide intermedi-
ate was obtained using the CDI coupling general procedure from 4cyanobenzoic acid ( $33.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.227 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $N, N$-dimethylformamide $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and 20a ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.206 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The desired product was obtained following aqueous workup and was used crude in the following step. The CBZ protecting group was removed according to the general procedure using $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ on carbon in ethanol $(4.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The residue was purified via preparative HPLC using a gradient elution from $10 \%$ to $80 \%$ acetonitrile/water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid to obtain the desired product as the trifluoroacetic acid salt (66 $\mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.57$ (br s, 1 H ), $8.70-8.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.25-8.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57-3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{t}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$444.43, found 444.40.

N-\{4-[1-(3-Cyano-benzoyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-trifluoromethyl-ben-zoyl\}-guanidine (33). The required CBZ-protected amide intermediate was obtained using the CDI coupling according to the general procedure from 3-cyanobenzoic acid ( $33.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.227 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ dimethylformamide ( 3 mL ) and 20a ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.206 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The desired product was obtained following aqueous workup and was used crude in the following step ( $115 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ). The CBZ protecting group was removed according to the general procedure using $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ on carbon in ethanol $(4.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 16 h . The residue was purified via preparative HPLC eluting $10-80 \%$ acetonitrile/water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid to obtain the desired product as the trifluoroacetic acid salt ( $71.7 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ $11.60(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.69-8.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.21-8.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{dt}$, $J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.98-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.66-1.55 (m, 1H). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$444.43, found 444.37.

N-\{4-[1-(2-Cyano-benzoyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-trifluoromethyl-ben-zoyl\}-guanidine (34). The required CBZ-protected amide intermediate was obtained using the CDI coupling according to the general procedure from 2-cyanobenzoic acid ( $33.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.227 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ dimethylformamide ( 3 mL ) and 20a ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.206 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The desired product was obtained following aqueous workup and was used crude in the following step. The CBZ protecting group was removed according to the general procedure using $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ on carbon in ethanol ( 4.0 mL ) for 16 h . The residue was purified via preparative HPLC eluting 10-80\% acetonitrile/water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid to obtain the desired product as the trifluoroacetic acid salt (78 $\mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.54$ (br s, 1 H$)$, $8.66-8.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.22-8.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.00-7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{td}$, $J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.46-3.43 (m, 1H), 3.33-3.16 (m, 2H), 3.09-2.90 (m, 1H), 1.86$1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}+)$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ $+\mathrm{H}^{+}$444.43, found 444.39.

N-\{4-[1-(4-Methylsulfonyl-benzoyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-trifluoro-methyl-benzoyl\}-guanidine (35). The required CBZ-protected amide intermediate was obtained using the CDI coupling according to the general procedure from 4-methylsulfonyl-benzoic acid ( $136 \mathrm{mg}, 0.681$ mmol ) in $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ and 20a ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.619$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The desired product was obtained following aqueous workup and was used crude in the following step. The CBZ protecting group was removed according to the general procedure using $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ on carbon in ethanol $(8.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 16 h . The residue was purified via preparative HPLC eluting $10-90 \%$ acetonitrile/water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid to obtain the desired product as the trifluoroacetic acid salt ( $253 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.58$ (br s, 1 H ), 8.81-8.36 (m, 4H), 8.22-8.19 (m, 2H), 8.11-8.02 (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{t}, J=11.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}+):$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$496.50, found 497.34.

N-\{4-[1-(Pyridine-4-carbonyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-trifluoromethyl-benzoyl\}-guanidine (38). The required CBZ-protected amide intermediate was obtained using the CDI coupling according to the
general procedure from isonicotinic acid ( $28.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.227 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 2.0 mL ) and 20a ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.206 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using TEA as the base. The desired product was obtained by dilution with water and filtration of the resulting precipitate. The CBZ protecting group was removed according to the general procedure using Pd on carbon ( $15 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in ethyl acetate $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and methanol $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 16 h . The crude material was purified by column chromatography eluting $0-10 \%$ methanol/dichloromethane to give the desired product as a white solid ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.69$ (dd, $J=$ $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.02(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.26-3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 420.41$, found 420.20 .

N-\{4-[1-(Pyridine-3-carbonyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-trifluoromethyl-benzoyl\}-guanidine (39). The required CBZ-protected amide intermediate was obtained using the CDI coupling according to the general procedure from nicotinic acid ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and 20a ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.206 \mathrm{mmol})$ using TEA as the base. The desired product was obtained by dilution with water and filtration of the resulting precipitate. The CBZ protecting group was removed according to the general procedure using Pd on carbon ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in ethyl acetate ( 1.0 mL ) and methanol ( 1.0 mL ) for 16 h . The crude hydrogenation was purified by flash chromatography eluting $0-10 \%$ ethanol/dichloromethane to give the desired product as a white solid ( $71 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.69$ (d, $J=2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26$ (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.96 (br s, 2H), 7.96-7.88 (m, 1H), $7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28-3.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-$ $2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}+):$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ $+\mathrm{H}^{+} 420.41$, found 420.60 .
$N$-\{4-[1-(Pyridine-2-carbonyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-trifluoromethyl-benzoyl\}-guanidine (40). The required CBZ-protected amide intermediate was obtained using the CDI coupling according to the general procedure from picolinic acid ( $28.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.227 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and 20a ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.206 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using TEA as the base. The desired product was obtained by dilution with water and filtration of the resulting precipitate. The CBZ protecting group was removed according to the general procedure using Pd on carbon ( $15 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in ethyl acetate $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and methanol $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 16 h . The crude hydrogenation was purified by flash chromatography eluting $0-10 \%$ methanol/dichloromethane to give the desired product as a white solid ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.60$ (ddd, $J=$ $0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{br}$ s, 2H), $7.94(\mathrm{td}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{ddd}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-3.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{td}, J=12.8,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.88-1.58 (m, 4H). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 420.41$, found 420.59 .

4-[4-(4-Guanidinocarbonyl-2-trifluoromethyl-phenyl)-piperidine-1-carbonyl]-benzamide (41). The required CBZ-protected amide intermediate was obtained using the CDI coupling according to the general procedure from terephthalamic acid ( $37.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.227 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $N, N$-dimethylformamide ( 3 mL ) and 20a ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.206 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The desired product was obtained following aqueous workup and was used crude in the following step. The CBZ protecting group was removed according to the general procedure using $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ on carbon in ethanol $(6.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 16 h . The residue was purified via preparative HPLC eluting 20-90\% acetonitrile/water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid to obtain the desired product as the trifluoroacetic acid salt (35 $\mathrm{mg}, 30 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.63$ (br s, 1 H ), $8.57-8.41(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.25-8.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.07-8.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70-4.67(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.33-3.16$ (partially obscured $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.99-2.75 (m, 1H), 1.89-1.65 (m, 4H). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 462.45$, found 462.70 .

N-\{4-[1-(6-Hydroxy-pyridine-3-carbonyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-tri-fluoromethyl-benzoyl\}-guanidine (42). The required CBZ-protected amide intermediate was obtained using the CDI coupling according to the general procedure from 6-benzyloxy-nicotinic acid ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and 20a $(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.206$ mmol ) using TEA as the base. The desired product was obtained by dilution with water and filtration of the resulting precipitate. The CBZ protecting group was removed according to the general procedure using Pd on carbon ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in methanol $(4.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 48 h . The crude hydrogenation was dissolved in methanol, and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added. A white solid was formed, and it was filtered and rinsed with more $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The material was recrystallized from methanol to give the desired product as a white solid ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 28 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.37$ (dd, $J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}+$ $\mathrm{H}^{+}$436.41, found 436.41.

N-\{4-[1-(4-Sulfamoyl-benzoyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-trifluoromethyl-benzoyl\}-guanidine (43). The required CBZ-protected amide intermediate was obtained using the CDI coupling according to the general procedure from 4-sulfamoyl-benzoic acid ( $45.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.227$ mmol ) in $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and 20a $(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.206$ mmol ). The desired product was obtained following aqueous workup and was used crude in the following step. The CBZ protecting group was removed according to the general procedure using $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ on carbon in ethanol $(4.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 16 h . The residue was purified via preparative HPLC eluting $10-90 \%$ acetonitrile/water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid to obtain the desired product as the trifluoroacetic acid salt ( $20.0 \mathrm{mg}, 16 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ $11.46(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.60-8.45(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-2.76(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}+)$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$498.49, found 498.61.

N-\{4-[1-(1,5-Dimethyl-1H-pyrazole-3-carbonyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-trifluoromethyl-benzoyl\}-guanidine (44). The required CBZ-protected amide intermediate was obtained using the CDI coupling according to the general procedure from 1,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrazole-3carboxylic acid (Maybridge, $33.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.227 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and 20a ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.206 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using TEA as the base. The desired product was obtained by dilution with water and filtration of the resulting precipitate. The CBZ protecting group was removed according to the general procedure using Pd on carbon ( $15 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in ethyl acetate $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and methanol $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 60 h . The crude hydrogenation was purified by column chromatography eluting 0 $10 \%$ methanol/dichloromethane to give the desired product as a white solid ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.35$ (d, J $=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.23-3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.78$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}+):$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$437.44, found 437.20.

N-\{4-[1-(1-Methyl-1H-imidazole-2-carbonyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-tri-fluoromethyl-benzoyl\}-guanidine (45). The required CBZ protected amide intermediate was obtained using the CDI coupling according to the general procedure from 1-methyl-1H-imidazole-2-carboxylic acid (Combi-Blocks, $28.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.227 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 2.0 mL ) and 20a ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.206 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using TEA as the base. The desired product was obtained by dilution with water and filtration of the resulting precipitate. The CBZ protecting group was removed according to the general procedure using Pd on carbon $(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ in ethyl acetate (2.0 mL ) for 16 h . The crude hydrogenation was purified by flash chromatography eluting $0-10 \%$ methanol/dichloromethane to give the desired product as a white solid ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta 8.36$ (d, $J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{t}, J$
$=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.91-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 423.41$, found 423.55 .

N-\{4-[1-(3-Methyl-3H-imidazole-4-carbonyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-tri-fluoromethyl-benzoyl\}-guanidine (46). The required CBZ protectedamide intermediate was obtained using the CDI coupling according to the general procedure from 3-methyl-3 H -imidazole-4-carboxylic acid (Maybridge, $28.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.227 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 2.0 mL ) and 20a (100 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.206 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using TEA as the base. The desired product was obtained by dilution with water and filtration of the resulting precipitate. The CBZ protecting group was removed according to the general procedure using Pd on carbon ( $15 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in ethyl acetate $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, methanol $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dichloromethane $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 16 h . The crude hydrogenation was purified by column chromatography eluting $0-10 \%$ methanol/dichloromethane to give the desired product as a white solid ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.14-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.69$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 423.41$, found 423.76.

N-\{4-[1-(4-Methylsulfamoyl-benzoyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-trifluoro-methyl-benzoyl\}-guanidine (50). The required CBZ-protected amide intermediate was obtained using the CDI coupling according to the general procedure from 4-methylsulfamoyl-benzoic acid (Buttpark, $48.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.227 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide ( 2 mL ) and 20a $(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.206 \mathrm{mmol})$. The desired product was obtained following aqueous workup and was used crude in the following step. The residue was dissolved in acetic acid $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $30 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{HBr}$ in acetic acid $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 2 h and diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then with ether. The resulting precipitate was collected by filtration and purified by preparative HPLC eluting 10-90\% acetonitrile/water with $0.1 \%$ TFA to obtain the desired product as the trifluoroacetic acid salt $(67.0 \mathrm{mg}, 53.2 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.59$ (br s, 1 H ), $8.78-8.40$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.27-8.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.12-8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{q}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.74-3.42 (m, 1H, partially obscured by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ peak), 3.33-3.23 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.12-2.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.97-1.88(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$ 512.52, found 512.72.

N-\{4-[1-(4-Dimethylsulfamoyl-benzoyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-trifluor-omethyl-benzoyl\}-guanidine (51). The required CBZ-protected amide intermediate was obtained using the CDI coupling according to the general procedure from 4-dimethylsulfamoyl-benzoic acid (Buttpark, $52.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.227 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide ( 2 mL ) and 20a $(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.206 \mathrm{mmol})$. The desired product was obtained following aqueous workup and was used crude in the following step. The CBZ protecting group was removed according to the general procedure using $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ on carbon in ethanol $(4.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 16 h . The residue was purified via preparative HPLC eluting 20-90\% acetonitrile/water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid to obtain the desired product as the trifluoroacetic acid salt ( $55.0 \mathrm{mg}, 42 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.69$ (br s, 1H), 8.80-8.44 (m, 4H), 8.22$8.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.73$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.83$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}+)$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+$ $\mathrm{H}^{+}$525.55, found 526.38.

General Procedure for Acid Chloride Coupling. To a suspension of 20a ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.206 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added triethylamine ( $0.072 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). To the resulting solution was added the required acid chloride $(0.186 \mathrm{mmol})$ dropwise, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The reaction was diluted with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and water and extracted three times with dichloromethane. The combined extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and the solvent was removed in vacuo and the products were used crude.

N-\{4-[1-(2,2-Dimethyl-propionyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-trifluorometh-yl-benzoyl\}-guanidine (55). The required CBZ-protected amide intermediate obtained according to the general procedure for acid
chloride coupling using 2,2-dimethyl-propionyl chloride $(0.023 \mathrm{~mL}$, 0.186 mmol ) to give the crude product which was subjected to the general procedure for CBZ deprotection using Pd on carbon in ethyl acetate $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 2 h to afford the pure product as a white amorphous solid ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{t}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{t}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$399.43, found 399.69.

N-[4-(1-Isobutyryl-piperidin-4-yl)-3-trifluoromethyl-benzoyl]-guanidine (56). The required CBZ-protected amide intermediate obtained according to the general procedure for acid chloride coupling using isobutyryl chloride ( $0.020 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.186 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to give the crude product which was subjected to the general procedure for CBZ deprotection using Pd on carbon in ethyl acetate $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 2 h to afford the pure product as a white solid ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15-3.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-2.83(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{t}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=20.3$, 6.6 Hz, 6H). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 385.40$, found 385.58.

N-[4-(1-Cyclopropanecarbonyl-piperidin-4-yl)-3-trifluoromethyl-benzoyl]-guanidine (57). The required CBZ-protected amide intermediate was obtained according to the general procedure for acid chloride coupling using cyclopropane carboxylic acid chloride ( $0.019 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.206 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to give the crude product which was subjected to the general procedure for CBZ deprotection using Pd on carbon in ethyl acetate $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 2 h to afford the pure product as a white solid ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ $8.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.67$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{t}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01$ (dt, $J=12.9,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.88-0.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+ ): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$383.29, found 383.44.

N-\{4-[1-(2-Methoxy-acetyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-trifluoromethyl-ben-zoyl\}-guanidine (58). The required CBZ-protected amide intermediate obtained according to the general procedure for acid chloride coupling using 2-methoxyacetyl chloride ( $0.018 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.186 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to give the crude product, which was subjected to the general procedure for CBZ deprotection using Pd on carbon in ethyl acetate ( 2.0 mL ) for 2 h to afford the pure product as a white amorphous solid ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO): $\delta 8.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21-4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.14-3.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{t}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.84-1.52 (m, 4H). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 387.38$, found 387.59.

N-[4-(1-Propionyl-piperidin-4-yl)-3-trifluoromethyl-benzoyl]-guanidine (59). The required CBZ-protected amide intermediate obtained according to the general procedure for acid chloride coupling using propionyl chloride ( $0.017 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.186 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to give the crude product, which was subjected to the general procedure for CBZ deprotection using Pd on carbon in ethyl acetate $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 2 h to afford the pure product as a white solid ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{t}, J=11.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 371.38$, found 371.62

N-[4-(1-Acetyl-piperidin-4-yl)-3-trifluoromethyl-benzoyl]-guanidine (60). The required CBZ-protected amide intermediate obtained according to the general procedure for acid chloride coupling using acetyl chloride $(0.013 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.186 \mathrm{mmol})$ to give the crude product, which was subjected to the general procedure for CBZ deprotection using Pd on carbon in ethyl acetate $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 2 h to afford the pure product as a white solid ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94$
$(\mathrm{d}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-3.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{t}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ $+\mathrm{H}^{+} 357.35$, found 357.59 .

4-(4-Methoxycarbonyl-2-trifluoromethyl-phenyl)-piperidine-1carboxylic Acid Benzyl Ester (61). To a solution of 19 ( $2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 6.45$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}(8 / 2,50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 4 N HCl in dioxane ( $8.07 \mathrm{~mL}, 32.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the resulting mixture was stirred for 16 h . The solvent was removed in vacuo to give the desired free piperidine product as a white solid as the hydrochloride salt $(1.90 \mathrm{~g}$, $91 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.39-3.34$ (partially obscured $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28-3.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$288.28, found 288.58.

To a solution of the product of the previous step ( $1.90 \mathrm{~g}, 5.86$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(250 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added benzylchloroformate $(0.94 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.61 \mathrm{mmol})$, followed by $N, N$-diisopropylamine $(2.62 \mathrm{~mL}$, 10.1 mmol ), and the mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred 16 h . The reaction mixture was then washed three times with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(30 \mathrm{~mL}$ each $)$ and brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, the organics were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The resulting oily residue was purified by flash chromatography eluting $0-100 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes to afford the desired product as a colorless oil $(2.20 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.20-8.12(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.18$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.09-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.69(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 422.41$, found 422.31 .

4-(4-(N-tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-guanidinocarbonyl-2-trifluoro-methyl-phenyl)-piperidine-1-carboxylic Acid Benzyl Ester (62). To a solution of $61(2.20 \mathrm{~g}, 5.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added water $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.80 \mathrm{~g}, 13.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . The reaction was concentrated to a paste in vacuo, and the residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate and water and the pH of the aqueous phase was adjusted to $\mathrm{pH} 5(\mathrm{pH}$ paper) by addition of 1 N HCl . The phases were separated, and the aqueous was extracted with ethyl acetate three times. The combined organics were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to give the desired product as a white solid $(1.91 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 13.38($ br s, 1 H$), 8.21-8.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.45-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.09-2.83$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}+)$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$ 408.38, found 408.20.

To a solution of the product of the previous step ( $2.52 \mathrm{~g}, 4.59$ mmol ) in $N$-methylpyrrolidinone ( 20 mL ) was added 2-chloro-1methylpyridinium iodide $(1.42 \mathrm{~g}, 5.56 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the mixture was stirred for 75 min . To this mixture was added tert-butoxycarbonylguanidine $(0.960 \mathrm{~g}, 6.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ and diisopropylamine $(2.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.9$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 16 h . The crude mixture was partitioned between water and ethyl acetate, the phases were separated, and the organics were washed 2 times with water and then once with brine. The organics were collected, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to pale-orange oil. The crude residue was purified by flash chromatography eluting $0-60 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes to give the desired product as a colorless oil ( $2.52 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.98(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.43(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.99$ ( $\mathrm{t}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.90-2.74 (m, 2H), 1.73-1.39 (m, 4H). MS (ES + ): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$549.55, found 549.74.
$N$-(4-Piperidin-4-yl-3-trifluoromethyl-benzoyl)- $N^{\prime}$-(tert-butoxy-carbonyl)-guanidine (63a). To a solution of $62(11.0 \mathrm{~g}, 20.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ on carbon $(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 500$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.356 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction was stirred under a hydrogen atmosphere for 36 h . The reaction was diluted with ethyl acetate (200 mL ) and filtered through Celite. The solvent was removed in vacuo, the resulting foam was triturated with ether, and the resulting solid was collected by filtration to give the desired product as a white solid ( 6.81 g, $82 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 9.67$ (br s, 1 H ), 8.62 (br s, 1 H ), 8.40 $(\mathrm{d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{t}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-2.66(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 415.43$, found 415.72.
$N$-(4-Piperidin-4-yl-3-trifluoromethyl-benzoyl)- $N^{\prime}$-(tert-butoxy-carbonyl)-guanidine hydrochloride salt (63b). To a solution of 63a $(1.89 \mathrm{~g}, 4.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 1 N HCl in ether $(5.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting mixture was diluted with hexanes to give a cloudy white suspension from which the solvent was removed in vacuo to give the desired product as a white solid ( $2.05 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.18(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.82(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.21-9.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $9.13-9.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.00-8.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.24-3.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18-3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-$ $1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES + ): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 415.43$, found 415.39

General Procedure for Amide Bond Coupling with HATU. To the benzoic acid derivative ( 1.10 equiv when reacting with $63 a$ and 2.74 equiv when reacting with $\mathbf{6 3 b}$ ) and HATU ( 1.10 equiv) was added DMA ( 1 mL ), and the mixture was shaken for 15 min . A solution of $\mathbf{6 3 a}$ or $\mathbf{6 3 b}$ ( 1.00 equiv) and diisopropylamine ( 2 equiv) in DMA ( 0.5 mL ) was then added, and the reaction was shaken overnight at room temperature. The crude mixtures were filtered through an SPE cartridge containing 1 g of basic alumina. The alumina plug was eluted with a 10:1 mixture of DMA: $\mathrm{MeOH}(4 \times 0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, then the solutions were concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting coupling products were used crude in the next step.

General Procedure for BOC Deprotection with TFA. The crude amide from the HATU coupling was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(200 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, and then $1-2 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $20 \% \mathrm{TFA}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added. The resulting solution was shaken overnight at room temp. The solvent was then removed in vacuo, and the residue was purified by reverse phase mass triggered LCMS.

N-\{4-[1-(4-Chloro-benzoyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-trifluoromethyl-ben-zoyl\}-guanidine (24). Using the general procedure for HATU amide bond coupling with 4 -chlorobenzoic acid ( $38.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.244 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $N, N$-dimethylformamide $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and 63a ( $92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.222 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by the general BOC deprotection procedure afforded the title compound as the formate salt after purification by preparative HPLC $(60.1 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta 12.53(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20-8.00(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{q}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.58$ (m, 4H). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{ClF}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 452.86$, found 453.92.

N-\{4-[1-(3-Chloro-benzoyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-trifluoromethyl-ben-zoyl\}-guanidine (25). Using the general procedure for HATU amide bond coupling with 3-chlorobenzoic acid ( $38.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.244 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $N, N$-dimethylformamide ( 2 mL ) and 63a ( $92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.222 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by the general BOC deprotection procedure afforded the title compound as the formate salt after purification by preparative HPLC ( $74 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.71$ (br s, 0.5 H ), $11.44(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 8.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.19-8.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H})$, $4.71(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23-3.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.92-1.59 (m, 4H). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{ClF}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$ 453.86, found 454.13.

N-\{4-[1-(2-Chloro-benzoyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-trifluoromethyl-ben-zoyl\}-guanidine (26). Using the general procedure for HATU amide bond coupling with 3 -chlorobenzoic acid ( $38.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.244 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $N, N$-dimethylformamide $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $63 \mathrm{a}(92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.222 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by the general BOC deprotection procedure afforded the title compound as the formate salt after purification by preparative HPLC ( $74.5 \mathrm{mg}, 74.1 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.75$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-3.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, 1H), 1.90-1.59 (m, 4H). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{ClF}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$ 453.86, found 453.99.

N-\{4-[1-(Biphenyl-4-carbonyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-trifluoromethyl-benzoyl\}-guanidine (27). Using the general procedure for HATU amide bond coupling with biphenyl-4-carboxylic acid ( $48.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.244$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ ) in DMA ( 1 mL ) and 63b ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.089 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by
the general BOC deprotection procedure afforded the title compound as the formate salt after preparative HPLC purification $(12.8 \mathrm{mg}$, $27 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.73(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34$ ( s , $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90-7.82$ (br m, 1H), $7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22-3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.04-$ $2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ $+\mathrm{H}^{+}$495.20, found 495.01.

N-\{4-[1-(4-Oxazol-5-yl-benzoyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-trifluoromethyl-benzoyl\}-guanidine (28). Using the general procedure for HATU amide bond coupling with 4-(1,3-oxazol-5-yl)benzoic acid ( 46.2 mg , $0.244 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMA $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and 63a ( $92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.222 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by the general BOC deprotection procedure afforded the title compound as the formate salt after preparative HPLC purification ( $74 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.80$ (br s, 1H), $8.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.00-6.50 (br m, 2H), 4.77-4.57 (br m, 1H), 3.87-3.62 (br m, 1H), 3.65-3.06 (br m, 2H), 2.95-2.78 (br m, 1H), 1.91-1.71 (br m, 3H), 1.74-1.58 (br m, 1H). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$ 486.17, found 486.17.

N-\{4-[1-(3-Oxazol-5-yl-benzoyl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-trifluoromethyl-benzoyl\}-guanidine (29). Using the general procedure for HATU amide bond coupling with 3-(1,3-oxazol-5-yl)benzoic acid $(46.2 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.244 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMA ( 2 mL ) and 52a ( $92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.222 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by the general BOC deprotection procedure afforded the title compound as the formate salt after preparative HPLC purification ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.77$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00-6.50(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.79-4.61(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.62(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.10(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.78$ (br $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.72(\mathrm{brm}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.57(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$486.17, found 485.98.

N-[4-(1-Cyclopentanecarbonyl-piperidin-4-yl)-3-trifluoromethyl-benzoyl]-guanidine (52). Using the general procedure for HATU amide bond coupling with cyclopentanecarboxylic acid $(27.9 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.244 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMA $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and 63a $(92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.222 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by the general BOC deprotection procedure afforded the title compound as the formate salt after preparative HPLC purification ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.64$ (br s, 1 H ), $8.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.07-2.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 411.2$, found 410.84 .

N-\{4-[1-(3-Methyl-butyryl)-piperidin-4-yl]-3-trifluoromethyl-ben-zoyl\}-guanidine (53). Using the general procedure for HATU amide bond coupling with isovaleric acid ( $24.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.244 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMA ( 2 mL ) and 63a ( $92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.222 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by the general BOC deprotection procedure afforded the title compound as the formate salt after preparative HPLC purification ( $69 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.70(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.16-3.05(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.66-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.97(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.97-0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$399.20, found 398.92 .

N-[4-(1-Butyryl-piperidin-4-yl)-3-trifluoromethyl-benzoyl]-guanidine (54). Using the general procedure for HATU amide bond coupling with butyric acid ( $21.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.244 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMA ( 2 mL ) and 63 a ( $92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.222 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by the general BOC deprotection procedure afforded the title compound as the formate salt after preparative HPLC purification ( $59 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta 11.45$ (br s, 1H), 8.20 (br s, 1H), 8.26 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18-3.04$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.66-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.63(\mathrm{~m}$,
$3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 385.18$, found 384.93

4-Benzyloxy-5-iodo-2-methyl-benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (66). To a solution 4-hydroxy-5-iodo-2-methyl-benzoic acid methyl ester 65 ( $9.70 \mathrm{~g}, 33.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 130 mL ) was added benzyl bromide $(4.46 \mathrm{~mL}, 36.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(13.8 \mathrm{~g}, 99.6 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and was cooled to rt . The mixture was partitioned between ethyl acetate and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was further extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified via flash chromatography using a gradient elution of $0-8 \%$ ethyl acetate/ hexanes to afford the desired product $(8.32 \mathrm{~g}, 66 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.39$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{IO}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 383.19$, found 383.24.

4-Benzyloxy-2-methyl-5-trifluoromethyl-benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (67). To a solution of $66(8.32 \mathrm{~g}, 21.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1-methyl-2pyrrolidone $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added potassium trifluoroacetate $(16.6 \mathrm{~g}$, $108.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and copper(I) iodide ( $20.7 \mathrm{~g}, 108.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was stirred at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h and then was cooled to rt . The mixture was partitioned between ethyl acetate and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and was filtered through a pad of Celite, rinsing with ethyl acetate. The layers of the filtrate were separated, and the aqueous phase was further extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were washed with brine and were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified via flash chromatography using a gradient elution of $0-8 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes to afford the desired product $(6.30 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%)$ as an off-white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ $8.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.61$ ( s , 3 H ). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$325.29, found 325.20.

4-Hydroxy-2-methyl-5-trifluoromethyl-benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (68). To a solution of $67(6.30 \mathrm{~g}, 19.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ purged with Ar was added $10 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(400 \mathrm{mg})$. The mixture was stirred under an $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ atmosphere overnight. The mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite and was rinsed with EtOH. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the desired product (4.34 g, 95\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93$ ( s , $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}+):$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$ 235.17, found 235.20.

4-(4-Methoxycarbonyl-5-methyl-2-trifluoromethyl-phenyl)-3,6-dihydro-2H-pyridine-1-carboxylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester) (69a). To a solution of $68(4.34 \mathrm{~g}, 18.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF was added DIEA ( 3.55 $\mathrm{mL}, 20.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by $N$-phenyltrifluoromethanesulfonimide. The reaction was stirred at room temperature overnight. The mixture was partitioned between ethyl acetate and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was further extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were washed with brine and were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo to afford the desired crude intermediate. The crude intermediate was divided into four equal portions and was placed into four 20 mL microwave reaction vials: To a solution of intermediate in THF (48 mL ) was added 16 (Digital, $5.61 \mathrm{~g}, 18.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2 M aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $18.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 36.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After the mixture was degassed, tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (Strem, $3.78 \mathrm{~g}, 3.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. Each vial was sealed with a Teflon lined septa cap and was irradiated in a microwave reactor at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . After cooling to room temperature, the mixtures from all of the vials were pooled together and were partitioned between ethyl acetate and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The mixture was filtered to remove the remaining undissolved solid, rinsing with ethyl acetate and water. The layers of the filtrate were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified via flash chromatography using a gradient elution of $0-8 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes to afford the desired product $(5.68 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%)$ as a light-yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.09$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.57-3.49 (m, 2H), $2.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.

MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 400.40$, found $422.20(\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$).

4-(4-Methoxycarbonyl-5-methyl-2-trifluoromethyl-phenyl)-piper-idine-1-carboxylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (84). To a solution of 69 $(5.68 \mathrm{~g}, 14.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ purged with Ar was added 10 wt $\% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(200 \mathrm{mg})$. The mixture was stirred under an $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ atmosphere. Additional catalyst was added, and the mixture continued to stir under an $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ atmosphere until the reaction was complete. The mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite and was rinsed with DCM. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the desired product $(5.15 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}$, 9H). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 402.42$, found 387.36 $\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.

4-(4-Carboxy-5-methyl-2-trifluoromethyl-phenyl)-piperidine-1carboxylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (85). To a solution of 84 (5.15 g, 12.8 $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added LiOH monohydrate $(807 \mathrm{mg}, 19.2 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight and then was cooled to rt. The MeOH was removed in vacuo, and the aqueous mixture was acidified ( pH 5 ) with 1 N aqueous HCl . The resulting solid was collected by filtration and dried in vacuo at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford the desired product $(5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-4.02(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.43$ (s, 9H). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 388.39$, found 388.40

4-[5-Methyl-4-(N-(carbobenzyloxy)-guanidinocarbonyl)-2-tri-fluoromethyl-phenyl]-piperidine-1-carboxylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (86). To a solution of $85(5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 12.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1-methyl-2pyrrolidone $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 2-chloro-1-methylpyridinium iodide ( $3.63 \mathrm{~g}, 14.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min . $N$-Carbobenzyloxy-guanidine $(2.99 \mathrm{~g}, 15.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DIEA were added to the reaction, and the mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature overnight. The mixture was partitioned between ethyl acetate and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was further extracted with ethyl acetate, and the combined organic phases were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, brine, and were dried ( $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ) and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified via flash chromatography using a gradient elution of $10-20 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes to afford the desired product ( $5.68 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.53(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22-4.01(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.02-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}$, 9H). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$563.58, found 563.20.
$N$-(2-Methyl-4-piperidin-4-yl-5-trifluoromethyl-benzoyl)- $N^{\prime}$-(car-bobenzyloxy)-guanidine (87). To a solution of $86(5.68 \mathrm{~g}, 10.1$ mmol ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 4 M HCl in 1,4-dioxane ( 10 mL ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 h . The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the desired product $(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%)$ as the hydrochloride salt. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right): \delta 10.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.48(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.31-9.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.16-9.06$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.41-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.22-2.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.03(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$ 463.48, found 463.20.

N-[4-(1-Acetyl-piperidin-4-yl)-2-methyl-5-trifluoromethyl-benzo-yl]-guanidine (78). To a solution of $87(250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM $(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added DIEA $(0.26 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by acetic anhydride $(0.048 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 45 min . The mixture was diluted with DCM and was washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The aqueous layer was backextracted with DCM, and the combined organic phases were washed with brine and were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo. To a solution of the residue in DMF $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{EtOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ purged with Ar was added $10 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(50 \mathrm{mg})$. The mixture was stirred under an $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ atmosphere overnight. The mixture was filtered through glass filter paper rinsing with ethyl acetate. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was purified via preparative HPLC using a gradient elution from $10 \%$ to $75 \%$ acetonitrile/water with $0.1 \%$ TFA to obtain the desired product as the trifluoroacetate salt $(85 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$
over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.86-8.25(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63-4.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.88(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$371.38, found 371.20.

4-Bromo-5-methanesulfonyl-2-methyl-benzoic Acid (71). To chlorosulfonic acid $(15.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 226 \mathrm{mmol})$ cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added 4-bromo-2-methyl-benzoic acid $70(5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 23.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ portionwise over 2 min . The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and was heated at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction was cooled to room temperature and was added dropwise (very slowly) to ice (750 g). The resulting solid was collected by filtration and was dried in vacuo to afford the desired intermediate as a white solid. To a stirred solution of sodium sulfite ( $3.58 \mathrm{~g}, 28.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(8.52 \mathrm{~g}, 101.4$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$ heated to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of the intermediate in THF ( 25 mL ), dropwise over 20 min . After the addition was complete, the mixture was stirred at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and then was cooled to rt. Iodomethane ( $6.31 \mathrm{~mL}, 101.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the reaction was allowed to stir at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The mixture was cooled to room temperature, and the THF was removed in vacuo. The aqueous mixture was acidified ( pH 5 ) with 4 N aqueous HCl , and the resulting precipitate was collected by filtration and dried in vacuo at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford the desired product $(4.88 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%$ for two steps) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 13.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.45$ ( s , $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}+):$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{BrO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$293.14, found 293.00.

4-Bromo-5-methanesulfonyl-2-methyl-benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (72). To a solution of $71(4.88 \mathrm{~g}, 16.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(85 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added acetyl chloride $(12.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 166 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction was concentrated to dryness, and the residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was further extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine and were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo to afford the desired product ( $4.47 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ ) as an off-white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ $8.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BrO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 307.16$, found 307.00 .

4-(2-Methanesulfonyl-4-methoxycarbonyl-5-methyl-phenyl)-3,6-dihydro-2H-pyridine-1-carboxylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (69b). Each reactant was divided into three equal portions and was placed into three 20 mL microwave reaction vials: To a solution of $72(3.50 \mathrm{~g}$, 11.4 mmol ) in 1,4-dioxane ( 8.5 mL ) was added 4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl[1,3,2] dioxaborolan-2-yl)-3,6-dihydro-2H-pyridine-1-carboxylic acid tert-butyl ester (Digital, $3.52 \mathrm{~g}, 11.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2 M aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $11.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 22.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After the mixture was degassed, tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (Strem, $1.32 \mathrm{~g}, 1.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. Each vial was sealed with a Teflon lined septa cap and was irradiated in a microwave reactor at $170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . After cooling, the mixtures from all of the vials were pooled together and were partitioned between ethyl acetate and water. The mixture was filtered to remove the remaining undissolved solid, rinsing with ethyl acetate and water. The layers of the filtrate were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified via flash chromatography using a gradient elution of 10$40 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes to afford the desired product ( $4.8 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%$ ) as a light-yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37$ ( s , $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.94(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-3.49(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 410.50$, found $432.20\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.

4-(2-Methanesulfonyl-4-methoxycarbonyl-5-methyl-phenyl)-pi-peridine-1-carboxylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (88). To a solution of 69b $(2.70 \mathrm{~g}, 6.59 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetic acid $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ purged with Ar was added platinum(IV) oxide ( 750 mg ). The mixture was stirred under an $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ atmosphere overnight. The mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite rinsing with ethyl acetate. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was further extracted with ethyl acetate. The
combined organic phases were washed with brine and were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo to afford the desired product $(2.24 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%)$ as an off-white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.35$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-4.01(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.59-3.47(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.69(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.56(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.42$ (s, 9H). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 412.51$, found $434.34\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.

4-(4-Carboxy-2-methanesulfonyl-5-methyl-phenyl)-piperidine-1carboxylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (89). The compound was prepared according to the procedure for compound 85 using as the starting material $88(2.24 \mathrm{~g}, 5.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford the desired product $(1.85 \mathrm{~g}$, $86 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 13.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.69$ (br m, 2H), $2.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.43$ (s, 9H).

4-\{2-Methanesulfonyl-5-methyl-4-[N-(carbobenzyloxy)-guanidi-nocarbonyl]-phenyl\}-piperidine-1-carboxylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (90). The compound was prepared and purified via flash chromatography using a gradient elution of $25-50 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes according to the procedure for compound 7 using as the starting material $90(1.85 \mathrm{~g}, 4.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford the desired product ( $2.15 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%$ ). MS (ES+ ): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 573.67$, found 573.20.

4-[5-Methanesulfonyl-2-methyl-4-piperidine-4-yl-benzoyl)-N-(carbobenzyloxy)-guanidine (91). The compound was prepared according to the procedure for compound 87 using as the starting material $90(2.15 \mathrm{~g}, 3.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford the desired product $(1.91 \mathrm{~g}$, quantitative) as the hydrochloride salt. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 9.98$ (br s, 1H), $9.50(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.30-9.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.76-8.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.27 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.54-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.39-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.01(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$ 473.57, found 473.20.

N-[5-Methanesulfonyl-4-(1-propionyl-piperidin-4-yl)-2-methyl-benzoyl]-guanidine (82). To a solution of propionic acid ( $31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41$ mmol ) in DMF ( 3 mL ) was added $1,1^{\prime}$-carbonyldiimidazole ( 70 mg , 0.43 mmol ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 90 min , after which $91(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DIEA $(0.21 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The mixture was poured over $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and the resulting solid was collected by filtration. To a solution of the solid in ethanol ( 12 mL ) and DMF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ purged with Ar was added $10 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(35 \mathrm{mg})$. The mixture was stirred under an $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ atmosphere overnight. The mixture was filtered through glass filter paper rinsing with ethyl acetate. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was purified via preparative HPLC using a gradient elution from $5 \%$ to $60 \%$ acetonitrile/water with $0.1 \%$ TFA to obtain the desired product as the trifluoroacetate salt ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 42 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.74-8.25(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64-4.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.59(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{q}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 H$ ). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$395.50, found 395.20.

N-[4-(1-Acetyl-piperidin-4-yl)-5-methanesulfonyl-2-methyl-ben-zoyl]-guanidine (83). The compound was prepared and purified by preparative HPLC using a gradient elution from $1 \%$ to $50 \%$ acetonitrile/water with $0.1 \%$ TFA as the eluent according to the procedure for compound 78 using the starting material 92 ( 200 mg , $0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ to give the desired intermediate which was deprotected using $10 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(25 \mathrm{mg})$ to afford the desired product ( 50 mg , $26 \%$ over two steps) as the trifluoroacetate salt. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.72-8.25(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.62-4.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.23-3.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$381.47, found 381.20.

4-(2-Methanesulfonyl-4-methoxycarbonyl-phenyl)-3,6-dihydro-2H-pyridine-1-carboxylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (92). The compound was prepared and purified via flash chromatography using a gradient elution of $10-40 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes according to the procedure for compound 88, starting from 4-bromo-3-methanesulfonyl-benzoic
acid methyl ester $(3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 10.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, to afford the desired product $(2.73 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right): \delta 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21$ (dd, $J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.01-3.95 (br m, 2H), $3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.39-2.30 (m, 2H), $1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S}+$ $\mathrm{H}^{+}$396.47, found 396.30 .

4-(2-Methanesulfonyl-4-methoxycarbonyl-phenyl)-piperidine-1carboxylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (93). The compound was prepared according to the procedure for compound 89, using the starting material $92(2.73 \mathrm{~g}, 6.90 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford the desired product $(2.00 \mathrm{~g}$, $73 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17-4.01(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.82-1.70 (m, 2H), 1.69-1.55 (m, 2H), $1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 398.49$, found $342.20\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{tBu}{ }^{+}\right)$.

4-(4-Carboxy-2-methanesulfonyl-phenyl)-piperidine-1-carboxylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (94). The compound was prepared according to the procedure for compound 85 using starting material $93(2.00 \mathrm{~g}$, $5.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford the desired product ( $1.90 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 13.31(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17-4.01(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.66-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.70(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$384.46, found 384.20.

4-\{2-Methanesulfonyl-4-[N-(carbobenzyloxy)-guanidinocarbon-yll-phenyl\}-piperidine-1-carboxylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (95). The compound was prepared and purified via flash chromatography using a gradient elution of $25-50 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes as the eluent according to the procedure for compound 86, using starting material $94(1.90 \mathrm{~g}, 4.96 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford the desired product $(2.80 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.83(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22-4.01(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-$ $3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.69-1.54 (m, 2H), $1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES + ): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}+$ $\mathrm{H}^{+}$559.65, found 559.20.
$N$-(3-Methanesulfonyl-4-piperidine-4-yl-benzoyl)- $N^{\prime}$-(carboben-zyloxy)-guanidine (96). The compound was prepared according to the procedure for compound 83 , using the starting material 95 ( 2.80 g , $5.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ and heating at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford the desired product $(2.00 \mathrm{~g}$, $81 \%$ ) as the hydrochloride salt. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.04$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 9.33-9.02(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.64-8.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49-8.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.72-7.66 (m, 1H), 7.47-7.34 (m, 5H), 5.27 (s, 2H), 3.79-3.63 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.12-2.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.97-1.85 (m, 2H). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$459.54, found 459.20.

N-[3-Methanesulfonyl-4-(1-propionyl-piperidin-4-yl)-benzoyl]guanidine (80). The compound was prepared and purified by preparative HPLC using a gradient elution from 5 to $60 \%$ acetonitrile/ water with $0.1 \%$ TFA as the eluent according to the procedure for compound 82, using the starting material $97(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ to give the desired intermediate which was deprotected using $10 \mathrm{wt} \%$ palladium on carbon $(50 \mathrm{mg})$ to afford the desired product $(63 \mathrm{mg}$, $41 \%$ over two steps) as the trifluoroacetate salt. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.85-8.32(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18$ (dd, $J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66-4.53(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.05$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.53(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$ 381.47, found 381.20.

N-[4-(1-Acetyl-piperidin-4-yl)-3-methanesulfonyl-benzoyl]-guanidine (81). The compound was prepared and purified by preparative HPLC using a gradient elution from $1 \%$ to $50 \%$ acetonitrile/water with $0.1 \%$ TFA as the eluent according to the procedure for compound 78, using the starting material 97 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to give the desired intermediate which was deprotected using $10 \mathrm{wt} \%$ palladium on carbon $(40 \mathrm{mg})$ to afford the desired product ( 91 mg , $47 \%$ over two steps) as the trifluoroacetate salt. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.79-8.29(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18$ (dd, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62-4.51(\mathrm{~m}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.23-3.11$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-$ $1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 367.44$, found 367.20.

4-Benzyloxy-2-hydroxy-benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (74). 2,4-Dihydroxy-benzoic acid methyl ester $73(25.0 \mathrm{~g}, 148.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in acetone $(600 \mathrm{~mL})$ and treated with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(22.6 \mathrm{~g}, 163.5$ mmol ) and stirred at room temperature for 1 h . Benzyl bromide $(19.43 \mathrm{~mL}, 163.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was then added, and the reaction was heated to reflux for 3 h . The reaction was then cooled to room temperature and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated and triturated with water. The resulting solid was collected by filtration, resuspended in methanol, filtered, and dried under vacuum. A second crop of product was isolated by filtration of the filtrate after standing to give the desired product as a white solid ( $29.5 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) : $\delta 10.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.34(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 6.62-6.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

5-Bromo-2,4-dihydroxy-benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (75). To a solution of $74(29.04 \mathrm{~g}, 112.441 \mathrm{mmol})$ in chloroform $(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of bromine $(6.34 \mathrm{~mL}, 123.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in chloroform ( 80 mL ). The resulting mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 30 min , at which time it was washed with water. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The resulting solids were triturated with methanol to give the desired product as a solid (30.75 g, 81.1\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta 10.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $6.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

4-Benzyloxy-5-bromo-2-methoxy-benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (76). To a solution of $75(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 26.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(250 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added potassium tert-butoxide solution ( 1 M in THF, $35.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 35.6$ mmol ), and the mixture was stirred for 30 min . At this time, methyl iodide ( $2.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 38.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction stirred 72 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was concentrated and the residue taken up in water and neutralized by slow addition of 1 N HCl . The resulting precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with water until the solids were white. The resulting solid was dissolved in ethyl acetate and washed with water, 1 M NaOH , and brine. The organic phase was collected, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The resulting residue was triturated with hexanes, and the solids were collected by filtration to give the desired product as a solid ( $8.10 \mathrm{~g}, 77.8 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.52-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H).

4-Benzyloxy-2-methoxy-5-trifluoromethyl-benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (77). 76 ( $7.50 \mathrm{~g}, 21.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), CuI ( $24.4 \mathrm{~g}, 128 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and potassium trifluoroacetate $(19.5 \mathrm{~g}, 128 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in a round-bottom flask, and the vessel was evacuated and backfilled with argon three times. To the mixture was then added NMP ( 150 mL ), and the reaction was heated at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction was cooled to room temperature and poured into water (1.2 L), and the resulting precipitate was collected by filtration. The filter cake was then rinsed with ethyl acetate $(750 \mathrm{~mL})$ into a separate filtration flask, and the filtrate was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to afford a paste. The paste was treated with water, and the resulting solid was collected by filtration to give the desired product as a brown solid ( $6.14 \mathrm{~g}, 84.5 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.95$ (s, 1H), $7.48-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H).

4-Hydroxy-2-methoxy-5-trifluoromethyl-benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (97). Synthesized according to the procedure for phenol 68 to give the desired product as a brown solid ( $3.36 \mathrm{~g}, 74.4 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) : $\delta 11.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

4-(4-Carboxy-5-methoxy-2-trifluoromethyl-phenyl)-3,6-dihydro-2H-pyridine-1-carboxylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (69c). To a solution of $97(3.36 \mathrm{~g}, 13.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DIEA $(2.57 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(75.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $N$-phenyltrifluoromethanesulfonimide ( 5.04 g , 14.1 mmol ), and the resulting mixture was stirred overnight. The reaction was then poured into a stirred saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ in water. The mixture was then extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times)$, and
the combined extracts were washed with water $(4 \times)$, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and brine. The organic phase was collected, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to give the desired intermediate as a brown oil. A solution of this intermediate in 1,4dioxane $(56.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a mixture of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{4}(1.58 \mathrm{~g}, 1.37$ $\mathrm{mmol}), 16(4.22 \mathrm{~g}, 13.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(3.53 \mathrm{~g}, 27.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a pressure flask. To this mixture was then added water ( 14.0 mL ), and the flask was sealed and heated at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction was cooled to room temperature, poured over ice, and extracted with ethyl acetate. The extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to afford a brown oil, which was purified via flash chromatography using a gradient elution of $0-100 \%$ ethyl acetate/ hexanes to afford the desired product as a solid $(3.60 \mathrm{~g}, 66.7 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 13.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92$ ( s , $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.

4-(4-Carboxy-5-methoxy-2-trifluoromethyl-phenyl)-piperidine-1carboxylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (99). Synthesized according to the procedure for 85 to give the desired product as a solid ( $3.10 \mathrm{~g}, 85.7 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.93(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24$, $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{bd}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92,(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.78(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.648(\mathrm{bd}, \mathrm{J}=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ).

4-[5-Methoxy-4-(N-(carbobenzyloxy)-guanidinocarbonyl)-2-tri-fluoromethyl-phenyl]-piperidine-1-carboxylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (100). Synthesized according to the procedure for 86 to give the desired product which was used crude without purification $(4.08 \mathrm{~g}$, $91.8 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.00$ (bs, 1 H ), 8.92 (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.72(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.12-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.99-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.77(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.66$ (bd, $J=12.4,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
$N$-(2-Methoxy-4-piperidin-4-yl-5-trifluoromethyl-benzoyl)- $N^{\prime}$ -(carbobenzyloxy)-guanidine (101). Synthesized according to the procedure for 87 to give the desired product hydrochloride salt as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.24$ (bs, 1H), 9.67 (bs, 1H), 9.37 (bs, 1H), 8.98-8.91 (m, 2H), $8.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.36$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-3.19$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13-3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{bd}, J=12.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 479.48$, found 479.74.

N-[4-(1-Acetyl-piperidin-4-yl)-2-methoxy-5-trifluoromethyl-ben-zoyl]-guanidine (102). The CBZ-protected amide intermediate was obtained using the CDI coupling general procedure from acetic acid $(12.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.214 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $101(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.165 \mathrm{mmol})$. The intermediate obtained was used crude in the following step. The CBZ protecting group was removed according to the general procedure using $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ on carbon ( $20 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Pd}, 50 \%$ water wet, 10.0 mg , $0.007 \mathrm{mmol})$. The residue was purified via preparative HPLC using a gradient elution from $10 \%$ to $100 \%$ acetonitrile/water with $0.1 \%$ TFA to obtain the desired product $(26.0 \mathrm{mg}, 32 \%$ over two steps $)$ as the trifluoroacetate salt. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.6-8.35(\mathrm{brm}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=12.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17-3.04(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.61-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.85(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.64$ (br m, 2H). MS (ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+} 387.38$, found 387.20.

Biological Assays. Evaluation of NHE1 Inhibition ( $\mathrm{pH}_{i}$ ). HT-29 cells (purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), Manassas, VA) resuspended in DMEM supplemented with $10 \%$ FBS, $1 \%$ NEAA, and $1 \%$ Penn-Strep were seeded at 10000 cells/well in collagen-coated 384 -well plates, which were then incubated for 24 h at 37C. The next day, the medium was removed and the cells were dye (Invitrogen's BCECF) loaded for $30^{\prime}$ at 37 C , washed three times with an acid loading buffer $\left(10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}, 1.8 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl}, 90 \mathrm{mM}\right.$ choline Cl, 5 mM glucose, 15 mM Hepes, $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$, adjusted to pH 7.5 with KOH ), and further incubated at RT for 30 min . At the end of the incubation, the buffer was removed and $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of fresh acid loading buffer was added to each well to prevent the desiccation of the cells. The plate was placed in a Hamamatsu FDSS6000 instrument, and candidate compounds were added to the cells in buffer lacking the
$\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The plates were read, and the compound $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ s were calculated as measurements of the $50 \%$ inhibition of the intracellular pH recovery.

Evaluation of NHE2 and -3 Inhibition $\left(\mathrm{pH}_{j}\right)$. PS120 cells stably transfected with NHE-2 or 3 were resuspended in DMEM supplemented with $10 \%$ FBS, $1 \%$ NEAA, and $1 \%$ Penn-Strep are seeded at 10000 cells/well in collagen-coated 384 -well plates which were then incubated for 24 h at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The next day, the medium were removed and the cells were dye (Invitrogen's BCECF) loaded for 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, washed three times with an acid loading buffer $(10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NH} 4 \mathrm{Cl}, 1.8 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl}, 90 \mathrm{mM}$ choline $\mathrm{Cl}, 5$ mM glucose, 15 mM Hepes, $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$, adjusted to pH 7.5 with KOH ), and further incubated at RT for 30 min . At the end of the incubation, the buffer was removed and $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of fresh acid loading buffer was added to each well to prevent the desiccation of the cells. The plate was placed in a Hamamatsu FDSS6000 instrument, and candidate compounds were added to the cells in buffer lacking the $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The plates were read, and the compound $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ s were calculated as measurements of the $50 \%$ inhibition of the intracellular pH recovery.

Evaluation of Functional Potency in Human Platelets (hPSA). Human blood was collected into 10 mL K 2 EDTA tubes (BD, no. 366643 ) at room temperature and centrifuged at 150 g for 10 min at room temperature, and platelet rich plasma (PRP) that comprised the upper two-thirds of the plasma layer was used for the assessment of platelet swelling. The remaining plasma was further centrifuged at $1400 g$ to obtain platelet poor plasma (PPP). First, $22 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ test compounds $(10 \times)$ and vehicle controls were added to 96 -well plates to which $28 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well PRP was then added. Then $172 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well of propionate medium (PM) (sodium propionate 140 mM , HEPES 20 mM , glucose 10 mM , KCL $5 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{MgCl}_{2} 1 \mathrm{mM}$ and $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2} 1 \mathrm{mM} ; \mathrm{pH}$ 6.7) was placed into 96 -well plates to initiate platelet swelling. Platelet swelling was measured as a decrease in optical density at 680 mM measured over 6 s over 5 min using a microplate reader (Molecular Devices VMAX). Slope values were calculated, and POC was calculated using the changes in slope from control values.

Inhibition of Cytochrome $P-450$ s 2C19, 2C9, 2D6, and 3A4. Global lot human liver microsomes (HLM, Gentest) were used in all CYP $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ assessments with drug probes. CYP selective probe substrates (see Table 11) and HLM were mixed with $0-12.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$

Table 11

| CYP <br> isoform | probe substrate | incubation <br> time (min) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 2C19 | 7-ethoxy-3-cyanocoumarin | 45 |
| 2C9 | 7-methoxy-4-(trifluoromethyl)-coumarin | 45 |
| 2D6 | 3-[2-(N,N-diethyl-N-methylamino)ethyl]-7- <br> methoxy-4-methylcoumarin | 45 |
| 3A4 | 7-benzyloxy-4-(trifluoromethyl)-coumarin | 30 |

test compound, followed by 2 mM NADPH, and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for a defined time period depending on the probe reactions. Reaction was quenched with acetonitrile containing an internal standard (IS). The levels of respective metabolites generated in the CYP-selective probe reactions were quantified against the IS using LC/MS/MS. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were generated by fitting data using the GraFit 5 program.

Assessment of Stability in HLM and RLM. Stability of the test compounds was assessed in commercially obtained human and rat liver microsomes (BD Sciences). Incubations in duplicate consisted of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ test compound, $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ microsomal protein, 2.5 mM NADPH, and 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4. The compound was preincubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the assay buffer for 5 min , and then reaction was initiated with NADPH addition. Aliquots were removed at eight time points up to 60 min , reaction quenched with acetonitrile, and analyzed by LC/MS/MS for depletion of parent compound relative to initial concentration.

Assessment of Membrane Permeability Using the PAMPA Assay. ${ }^{33}$ The parallel artificial membrane permeability assay (PAMPA) was carried out using a PAMPA Evolution permeability
analyzer form pION, Inc., A "sandwich" was formed using 96-well microtiter plate and a 96 -well filter plate. The pH values of the buffer solutions in donor wells were adjusted to $5.0,6.2$, and 7.4 with 0.5 M NaOH , the upper acceptor plate was filled with a buffer solution ASB7.4 (pION Inc.), and the filters (pore size 0.45, thickness $125 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) were coated with $4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of lipid (pION Inc.). The stock solution of the test compound was dissolved in DMSO at 10 mM concentration, of which the donor solution of $41.7 \mu \mathrm{M}$ was prepared in diluted buffer solution. The PAMPA sandwich was incubated for 4 h without stirring. The concentration of the test compound was measured in duplicate for the reference, donor, and acceptor plates with a UV plate reader. The effective permeability of the test compound was calculated using the PAMPA Evolution permeability analyzer software. Result reporting (sum of Pe at 3 pHs ): Low permeability: $<2 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$. High permeability: $\geq 2 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$.

Determination of hERG Pharmacology Using the PatchXpress Platform. The hERG pharmacology of test agents was assessed on the PatchXpress automated electrophysiology platform (Molecular Devices, CA) as previously described ${ }^{34}$ with some minor modifications. A HEK cell line stably expressing hERG potassium channels was loaded onto PatchXpress at a final concentration of $1 \times 10^{6}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$. Voltage-dependent hERG currents were recorded from single cells using the whole-cell configuration of the patch clamp technique. Peak hERG tail current was monitored during application of successive drug concentrations until a new steady state was achieved. Multiple test article concentrations were applied cumulatively in ascending order without washout between applications. Data points were collected at a frequency of 0.1 Hz . Drugs were applied once a stable baseline had been recorded for 5 min . For PatchXpress experiments, $0.1 \%$ DMSO $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ in extracellular solution was added as a negative control before drug application. The steady-state hERG current amplitude before and after test article application was used to calculate the percentage of current inhibited at each concentration and to derive an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value. Valid whole-cell recordings met the following criteria: Membrane resistance $(\mathrm{Rm}) \geq 200 \mathrm{MW}$, access resistance (Ra) $\leq 8 \mathrm{MW}$ (after series resistance compensation), peak hERG tail current $\geq 200 \mathrm{pA}$, and leak current $\leq 25 \%$ peak current.

Assessment of Membrane Permeability and Transport Using Caco-2 Cells. Caco-2 cells (American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, MD) from passage number 36 were grown for 21 days in 12-transwell plates, and cells with TEER value greater than $350 \Omega \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ were used to determine compound permeability and efflux ratio. Incubations with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound were conducted on Tecan Genesis 150. Aliquots of the compound from apical ( $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{B}$ ) and basolateral (B-A) sides were transferred at various time points up to 150 min for analysis by LC/MS/MS. Apparent permeability coefficient ( $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{s}$ ) was determined using the following relationship:

$$
P_{\mathrm{app}}=\frac{\Delta C \cdot V}{\Delta t \cdot A \cdot S} \quad(\mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s})
$$

where $P_{\text {app }}$ is apparent permeability coefficient $(\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{s}), C$ is concentration in receiver compartment $\left(\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right), A$ is filter area $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right), t$ is time $(\mathrm{s}), S$ is concentration in donor compartment $(\mu \mathrm{mol} /$ $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ), and $V$ is volume in receiver compartment $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$.

Evaluation of Rat Pharmacokinetics. With approval from Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, jugular and femoral vein precannulated male Sprague-Dawley rats (Charles River Laboratories) were used to assess the pharmacokinetic profile of compounds. The compound was dissolved in $20 \%$ hydroxypropyl $\beta$ cyclodextrin (HPBCD) at $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ for intravenous (iv) dosing and suspended in $0.015 \%$ Tween $80+0.5 \%$ aqueous methylcellulose for oral (PO) dosing to overnight fasted rats ( $N=3$ per group) at 1 and 5 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, respectively. Serial blood samples were collected up to 24 h in $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ EDTA tubes for plasma collection. Plasma samples were frozen at $-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until analysis. The samples were processed by protein precipitation with acetonitrile, and supernatants were analyzed by LC/ MS/MS. The instrumentation consisted of Hewlett-Packard series 1200 pump, Leap CTC PAL autosampler, and Applied Biosystems API4000 mass spectrometer, operating in MRM mode.

Evaluation of Dog Pharmacokinetics. Single dose iv and po PK studies were performed in male Beagle dog ( $N=3$ per group) using the dosing vehicles as described for rat PK studies. After $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ iv or $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ po doses to overnight fasted animals, blood sampling was done up to 72 h post dose, followed by plasma sample analysis as described for rat PK.

Assessment of CYP $3 A 4$ Induction. DPX cells (stably transformed with human PXR and a reporter gene vector containing the enhancer regions of CYP3A4) were used for assessment of potential PXR activation and CYP3A4 induction by the test compound at concentrations of $0.1,1$, and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Rifampicin, mifepristone, and androstanol were used as positive controls for strong, moderate, and weak CYP3A4 induction. The induction potentials at each of the concentrations were read as percent of induction at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of the positive controls.

Assessment of CYP3A4 Inactivation. Time- and concentrationdependent inhibition of CYP3A4 by test compound was tested in hLM and was characterized by determining residual enzyme activity using midazolam as the probe substrate. Preincubations were done $\left(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ from 0 to 20 min with $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL} \mathrm{hLM}$, at 5 concentrations of test compound ranging from 0 to $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ with NADPH generating system. Secondary incubation with midazolam was performed at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 min, followed by analysis of midazolam metabolites.

AMES Mutagenicity Evaluation. ${ }^{35}$ The mutagenic potential was assessed using Salmonella typhimurium strains TA1535, TA1537, TA98, and TA100 and Escherichia coli WP2 uvrA (pKM101) in a standard plate incorporation format. Compounds were dissolved in DMSO and added to bacterial cultures at concentrations up to 5000 $\mu \mathrm{g} /$ plate in the presence or absence of an Aroclor 1254-induced rat liver S9 metabolic activating system. Each dose level, vehicle, and positive control was plated in triplicate.

Cytotoxicity Potential Determination. The cytotoxicity potential of the compound was assessed using HeLa cells in a 96-well format. Cells were seeded in 96 -well plates overnight. Compounds were dissolved in DMSO and added to cell plates at concentrations up to $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in triplicates. After a 24 h dosing period, plates were stained with Cytotoxicity 1 HitKit and imaged on Thermo Scientific Cellomics ArrayScan VTI. Nuclear morphology and size, ${ }^{36}$ membrane permeability status, ${ }^{37}$ lysosome mass/ pH changes, ${ }^{38}$ and cell density changes ${ }^{39}$ were assessed against vehicle control to generate a cytotoxicity index for each compound.

Phospholipidosis Potential Determination. Phospholipidosis was measured in a Nile Red flow cytometry assay ${ }^{40,41}$ using U937 cells. Cells were treated with compound at concentrations up to $500 \mu \mathrm{M}$. After 48 h incubation, the cells were fixed and labeled with Nile Red and propidium iodine dyes. Nile Red fluorescence intensity was assessed against vehicle control, and values were corrected to cell viability.

Ex Vivo Ischemia-Reperfusion Study. Experiments were performed on isolated hearts obtained from male Sprague-Dawley rats weighing 275-300 g (Charles River, St. Constant, Quebec, Canada). The animals were maintained in the Health Sciences Animal Care Facility of the University of Western Ontario in accordance with the guidelines of the Canadian Council on Animal Care (Ottawa, Ontario, Canada). For heart perfusion, the rats were euthanized by decapitation and the hearts were immediately removed, placed in cold Krebs-Henseleit buffer (see composition below) to inhibit any further contractions, and then mounted by the aorta on a stainless steel cannula and arranged for retrograde perfusion at a flow rate of $10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ according to the Langendorff method. Flow was maintained at a constant rate with the use of a peristaltic pump. The perfusion fluid was Krebs-Henseleit buffer composed of the following (in mmol/L): $120 \mathrm{NaCl}, 4.63 \mathrm{KCl}$, $1.17 \mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 1.25 \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}, 1.2 \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 20 \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and 8 glucose. The buffer was initially equilibrated and then continuously gassed with a $95 \% \mathrm{O}_{2}-5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ mixture. The pH of the buffer was 7.4 , and temperature was maintained at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by enclosing the entire system in a series of water-jacketed coils. Coronary pressure was measured via a side arm of the perfusion cannula, which was connected to a pressure transducer (model P23 XL, Spectramed). A latex water-filled balloon fixed to a pressure transducer was inserted through the mitral valve
into the left ventricle (LV) for the determination of LV developed pressure (LVDP). LV end-diastolic pressure (LVEDP) was adjusted to 5 mmHg before the start of the experiment by adjusting the volume in the intraventricular balloon with the aid of a micrometer syringe. Hearts were electrically paced at a rate of 5 Hz throughout the entire perfusion period. All determinations of ventricular performance were obtained online with a Biopac (Goleta, CA) data analysis system. After a 30 min equilibration period, zero flow ischemia was induced by shutting of the peristalitic pump for 45 min after which flow was rapidly reintroduced for a further 30 min . When studied, 60 was administered 10 min before initiating ischemia and then throughout the ischemia and reperfusion period. Data were analyzed using a repeated measures ANOVA followed by Tukey's posthoc test. $N=6$ for all groups. $* P<0.05$ from 60 -free group.
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